1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		John A: Clarke Cacellive Onlevi-to-rk BY MARY CARELA, Deputy HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES
10	Sharon Song Byrd, an individual,	) Case No. BC 403677
11	Plaintiff,	) (Action filed December 10, 2008; ) assigned to Hon. Michael C. Solner)
12	VS.	Plaintiff Sharon Song Byrd's Notice of
13 14	Tony Wafford, an individual, The Palms Residential Care Facility, a California Corporation, and Does 1 through 100,	<ul> <li>Motion and Motion for Order</li> <li>Permitting Discovery of Defendant</li> <li>Tony Wafford's Profits and Financial</li> </ul>
15	Defendants.	) Information
16		_ ) [C.C. § 3295]  Memorandum of Points and Authorities
17		
18		Declaration of Sharon Song Byrd  Declaration of Wendy Wheaton
19		Declaration of Steven Brourman, M.D.,
20		Declaration of Robert Dorer, MOT,
21		OTR
22		Declaration of Jeffrey W. Cowan
23		Date: March 23, 2010 [reserved] Time: 9 a.m.
24		Dept.: 39
25		[Trial: April 13, 2010]
26		
27		
28		
		1

# The Cowan Law Firm 1541 Ocean Avenue: Suitz 200 Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 394:1420

## TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Please take notice that on March 23, 2010 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 39 of this Court, located at 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012, Plaintiff Sharon Song Byrd, will and hereby does move the Court pursuant to Civil Code § 3295 for an order permitting discovery of Defendant Tony Wafford's profits and financial information. [This is the first available hearing date, and Plaintiff anticipates either re-noticing the motion if an earlier hearing date becomes available or applying *ex parte* for an earlier date in January 2010 when the Court has returned from his vacation and Department 39 is not dark.]

The grounds for this motion, as set forth in the attached memorandum of points and authorities and supporting declarations, are that there is a substantial probability the Plaintiff will prevail on her punitive damages claims related to her causes of action for (1) Battery and (2) Sexual Harassment in that Defendant Wafford acted with malice and oppression.

The motion will be based upon this notice, the attached memorandum of points and authorities, the attached declarations of Sharon Song Byrd, Wendy Wheaton, Steven Brourman, Robert Dorer, and Jeffrey Cowan (and exhibits thereto), the complete file in this action and such further evidence and argument that the Court may receive at or before the hearing.

THE COWAN LAW FIRM

DATED: December 31, 2009

By:

Jeffrey W. Cowan Attorney for Plaintiff Sharon Song Byrd

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Sharon Song Byrd ("Ms. Byrd") has sued her former supervisor Tony Wafford ("Wafford") (and her former employer, Palms Residential Care Facility ("Palms")) for battery and sexual harassment.

#### A. Plaintiff Sharon Byrd

Ms. Byrd is a college graduate who works as a secretary/administrative assistant. She also "moonlights" with a calligraphy business focused on social and business events.

#### B. Defendant Tony Wafford

Defendant Tony Wafford ("Wafford") is an entrepreneur and community activist. His stature is relevant to Ms. Byrd's claims, and he is about 5'9" and weighs over 250 pounds. He also uses violence to get what he wants when frustrated. [More on this latter point in § F below.]

# C. Starting and Ending a Consensual Relationship With Defendant Wafford

In March 2004, Wafford recruited and hired Ms. Byrd to work at the Palms Residential Care Facility ("Palms") as a secretary but with the title "project administrator." Shortly, thereafter, Wafford persuaded Ms. Byrd to begin a sexual relationship. This consensual relationship ended in December 2004 after Ms. Byrd learned that Defendant Wafford had been sleeping with a co-employee of Palms.

# D. <u>Sexual Harassment and Retaliation When Ms. Byrd Refused Defendant Wafford's</u> Request (While He Was Married) to Resume A Sexual Relationship

The subject female employee left Palms in or about February 2005. Thereafter, until about January 2007, Wafford continuously sexually harassed Ms. Byrd with unwelcome requests for sex (she rebuffed these advances), while also retaliating against her for not acquiescing. These requests included not only verbal communications but also electronic Instant Messages pestering Ms. Byrd for sex despite the fact that Defendant Wafford was married.\(^1\) (See Exhibit 1 to the attached Byrd Declaration).

There was nothing subtle about Defendant Wafford's electronic messages. Consider this exchange from July 2006:

2

3

4

# E. Defendant Wafford Exposing His Penis to Ms. Byrd at The Palms

Unwilling to accept "no" for an answer, Defendant Wafford did not limit his harassing conduct to words. Twice in his office at the Palms he dropped his pants in front of Ms. Byrd,

5		
6	"Wafford:	Did he say invite me over to go over the benefits?
7	Wafford:	LOLOLOLOL
7	Byrd:	The father on your proposal??? so that you make me an adulterer?
8	Wafford:	So shall we talk about this in the morning?
	Byrd:	About making me an adulterer?
9	Byrd:	And taking a chance on losing favor?
10	Wafford:	No about giving me what you said was mine
	Byrd:	That was before I came to my senses
11	Wafford:	OK, I understand
12	Wafford:	So how about one for the road?
12	Byrd:	And disappoint [sic] and hurt set in
13	Wafford:	Let me love all the hurt away
14	Byrd:	There are no constraints on lasciviousness.
14	Wafford:	So i will see you in the AM?
15	Byrd:	Nothe jury is still out
	Wafford:	ok you let me know when its ok to come by
16	Wafford:	I'll see you in the am
17	Byrd:	Did you just read your previous statement?
	Wafford:	Oh i forgot
18	Wafford:	LOLOLOLOL
19	Byrd:	I see
1,	Wafford:	So I'll see you in the am ok You keep repeating and overriding your previous statement. What's up with
20	Byrd:	
21	71. 00 1	that?
21	Wafford:	I'm in need so i get a little crazy LOLOLOL
22	Wafford:	All that aside, again I didn't sleep well, I have so much on my mind and a
23	Byrd:	cold is trying to catch me. What's up with this NEED You are laying next
		to warm body everynight [sic]if anyone is I should be?????
24	Byrd:	AMEN WALLS! LOL
25	Byrd:	Your benefits are right next to you
0.0	Wafford:	Well all i can say is i miss being with you. And if your not feeling it :-(
26	Wafford:	And yours can be also
27	Wafford:	Let talk about this in the AM
	Byrd:	There AIN'T No benefits been down that road oh so many times. Its just a
28		fun game to you" (emphasis added)

The sexual harassment ended in January 2007, but Defendant Wafford continued to retaliate against Ms. Byrd for her refusal to have sex with him.

# F. <u>Defendant Wafford's Practice of Using Violence (Or Threats Thereof) To Get What He</u> Wanted.

Defendant Wafford has a history of using violence or threats of violence to get his way, especially when he loses his temper. For one thing, he carries a gun – and would show it to his subordinates at Palms. (Byrd Decl., ¶ 7)

In December 2004, Defendant Wafford attacked a protestor at a HIV/AIDS event at the University of Southern California. Defendant did so by putting the protestor in a headlock and slamming him against a table or desk. (*Byrd* Decl. ¶¶ 6-7 and Ex. 4 of the Cowan Declaration).

In addition, Defendant Wafford has threatened violence against the L.A. County employee responsible for monitoring Palms. These acts include being hostile and physically intimidating. For example, whenever Ms. Salgado was in the same room with Defendant Wafford, he either (a) got in her personal space, or (b) moved himself so that even though she was not near him, he ended up blocking her path and she had to either move around him or (if there was no way to do so) ask Mr. Wafford to move so that she could get by. (Salgado Depo., pp. 5, 41-52; attached as Exhibit 3 to the Cowan Declaration)

These acts culminated in about early February 2006, when Defendant Wafford told Ms. Salgado in a telephone call that because she was not African American, Ms. Salgado would suffer physical harm if she were to attend a Palms event. Ms. Salgado reported the threats to her supervisors. They transferred her to a new assignment. (*Id.* at pp. 13-22, 39-40, 84-86, 130-133, 136-138).

# G. Hitting Ms. Byrd After Losing His Temper At Work

Defendant's Wafford's *modus operandi* of using violence (or the threat thereof) to get his way reared its head *vis a vis* Ms. Byrd in on October 2007 when Defendant Wafford lost his temper at work one morning and hit Ms. Byrd.

The incident occurred as follows: early on the morning of October 11, Defendant Wafford confronted Ms. Byrd about a document he needed for an upcoming meeting. Defendant Wafford soon lost his temper, cornered Ms. Byrd behind her desk and trapped her there. When Ms. Byrd put her hands up in a defensive position, Defendant Wafford hit her left hand so hard (while wearing a heavy ring) that Ms. Byrd suffered nerve damage near her index finger. (See Ex.2 to the Cowan Decl., and ¶¶ 2-3 of the Byrd Declaration; and the Declaration of Wendy Wheaton)

#### H. Ms. Byrd's Call to 911

Ms. Byrd called 911. The police (deputy sheriffs) came, and took a report, whose contents are consistent with her testimony. When interviewed by the sheriffs, Defendant Wafford did not accuse Ms. Byrd of acting wrongfully or doing anything to provoke a conflict. (Schwabe Depo., pp. 41-42 and Exhibit 25 thereto, attached as Ex. 5 to the Cowan Declaration)

# Ms. Byrd's Excited Utterances To A Friend and The Los Angeles County Employee Responsible For Monitoring The Palms

Shortly thereafter, an emotional and distraught Ms. Byrd told two persons about being hit by Mr. Wafford. One was a friend named Wendy Wheaton. The other was Nicole Salgado, the Los Angeles County employee who monitored the Palms and who already had suffered her own experiences of threats or intimations of violence from Mr. Wafford. Ms. Salgado has testified that Ms. Byrd was sobbing and highly emotional when she told her what happened. (Salgado Depo., pp. 92-93, 113-114, 133-136 and Wheaton Decl., ¶¶ 2-3)

# Medical Evidence Corroborates Ms. Byrd's Testimony

Since being hit, Ms. Byrd received medical treatment from an emergency room.

(Wheaton Decl., ¶¶ 4-5; Cowan Decl., Ex. 7 (response therein to form interrogatory No. 6.4)

Ms. Byrd later consulted with several doctors, including a hand surgeon and a physical therapist who have identified and corroborated the claimed hand injury. These medical professionals have determined that Ms. Byrd's injury in inoperable and will cause Ms. Byrd to suffer pain (and resulting limited use to avoid the pain) in her hand for the rest of her life. (See the Brourman and Dorer declarations, ¶ 3 of the Byrd Declaration, and Cowan Decl., Ex. 7 (response therein to form interrogatory No. 6.4)

# II. MS. BYRD SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO CONDUCT DISCOVERY ON DEFENDANT WAFFORD'S FINANCIAL CONDITION BECAUSE THE EVIDENCE SHOWS SHE HAS A SUBSTANTIAL PROBABILITY OF PREVAILING ON HER BATTERY AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT CLAIMS.

California law allows the conducting of discovery on a defendant's finances in circumstances – such as here – where a party can show a likelihood of recovering punitive damages on an intentional tort claim.

A. Ms. Byrd's Statutory Burden of Proof To Conduct Discovery on Defendant's Finances
Pursuant to Civil Code § 3294(a), Ms. Byrd can recover punitive damages against
Defendant Wafford if she can prove that he acted with malice or oppression when he hit or
sexually harassed her.<sup>2</sup>

In conjunction with her complaint's punitive allegations, Ms. Byrd has the conditional right to conduct pretrial discovery concerning the "financial condition" of Defendant Wafford.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Civil Code § 3294(c)(1) defines "malice" as "conduct which is intended by the defendant to cause injury to the plaintiff or despicable conduct which is carried on by the defendant with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights or safety of others." Civil Code § 3294(c)(2) defines "oppression" as "despicable conduct that subjects a person to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of that person's rights."

Civil Code § 3295 requires that Ms. Byrd establish a "substantial probability of prevailing on her intentional tort claims as a condition of conducting such discovery.3

This discovery is important because a defendant's financial condition is an essential factor in setting a punitive damages award that will serve the policy goals of retribution and deterrence without exceeding the necessary level of punishment. *Century Sur. Co. v. Polisso*, 139 Cal. App. 4th 922, 958-959 (2006).

# B. There Is Substantial Evidence that Ms. Byrd Will Prevail on Her Battery Claim

Ms. Byrd has a substantial probability of proving that Defendant Wafford committed battery when he hit her left hand (and caused inoperable nerve damage there).

Civil battery is an unprivileged touching. Its elements are as follows: (1) the defendant intentionally performed an act that resulted in a harmful or offensive contact with the plaintiff's person; (2) the plaintiff did not consent to the contact; and (3) the harmful or offensive contact caused injury, damage, loss or harm to the plaintiff. *Brown v. Ransweiler*, 171 Cal. App. 4th 516, 526, (2009). Each of these elements exists for and arise out of Defendant Wafford hitting Ms. Byrd on the hand and causing severe nerve injury to her index finger, which is at issue in this case.

Ms. Byrd's testimony and the testimony of percipient witnesses compels a finding that Ms. Byrd has a substantial probability of prevailing on her battery claim. That probability is even higher given the evidence (admissible pursuant to Evidence Code § 1101(b) of Defendant Wafford's practice of using violence to get his way (or "vent" his frustration) – as evidenced by the testimony of Nicole Salgado, the LAPD's records regarding Defendant Wafford's 2004 battery of a protestor, and Ms. Byrd's corroborating testimony.

<sup>3</sup> The relevant portion of the statute states: "Upon motion by the plaintiff supported by appropriate affidavits and after a hearing, if the court deems a hearing to be necessary, the court may at any time enter an order permitting the discovery otherwise prohibited by this subdivision if the court finds, on the basis of the supporting and opposing affidavits presented, that the plaintiff has established that there is a substantial probability that the plaintiff will prevail on the claim pursuant to Section 3294."

# B. Ms. Byrd Has A Substantial Probability of Prevailing on Her Sexual Harassment Claim

Ms. Byrd has a substantial probability of prevailing on not only her battery claim but also her claim for sexual harassment.

Government Code section 12940(j)(3), provides that a supervisor who sexually harasses a subordinate is personally liable for his actions. The elements of this civil rights claim are: (1) belonging to a protected group; (2) being subjected to unwelcome sexual harassment; (3) having the unwelcome harassment based on sex; (4) the subject harassment being sufficiently pervasive so as to alter the conditions of employment and create an abusive working environment; and (5) respondent superior). Fisher v. San Pedro Peninsula Hospital, 214 Cal. App. 3d 590, 608 (1989).

Here, each of these elements exists regarding Defendant Wafford's extensive pestering of Ms. Byrd with sexual requests and advances (in writing as well as verbally); and dropping his pants in front of Ms. Byrd and masturbating in front of her (and requesting that she mount him), and asking for sex via Instant Messages . (Byrd Decl., ¶¶ 13-14, Exhibit 1).

This evidence – and in particular Defendant Wafford's Instant Messages in which he persists in asking for sex despite Ms. Byrd's repeated rebuffs – compel a finding that Defendant Wafford will be held liable for committing these acts deliberately and in conscious disregard of Ms. Byrd's rights. Although Defendant Wafford has denied the allegations, he is not credible. For example, a supplemental form interrogatory response from him claims that he continued having a sexual relationship with Ms. Byrd through October 2007. (See Exhibit 6 to the Cowan Decl.) This contention flies in the face of Defendant's Instant Messages from 2006 in which it is clear – crystal clear – that no such consensual sexual relationship existed despite Defendant Wafford's desire for one to resume.

Accordingly, Ms. Byrd has a substantial probability of prevailing on her sexual harassment claim and proving that Defendant Wafford acted with oppression or malice within the meaning of Civil Code §§ 3294 and 3295.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth herein, Ms. Byrd respectfully submits that the Court should allow her to conduct discovery on Defendant Wafford's financial condition pursuant to Civil Code § 3295.

Respectfully submitted,

THE COWAN LAW FIRM

DATED: December 31, 2009

By:

Jeffrey W. Cowan Attorney for **Plaintiff Sharon Song Byrd** 

#### **Declaration of Sharon Song Byrd**

 My name is Sharon Song Byrd. I am the plaintiff in this lawsuit. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so, would and could competently testify to the following:

### Being attacked by Defendant Tony Wafford in 2007

- 2. Part of the claims in this lawsuit arise from an incident that occurred on October 11, 2007 when my supervisor at the Palms Residential Care Facility Tony Wafford who is much bigger than I and who at the time weighed about 300 pounds got mad at me, trapped me behind my desk and then attacked me by forcefully slapping my left hand while I had it (and my other hand) up in a defensive/protective posture. Mr. Wafford was wearing a thick ring on the hand that hit me, and ever since I have suffered substantial pain in my left hand.
- 3. [The pain has persisted despite my having seen several hand physicians and despite my having followed a course of treatment prescribed by physical therapist Robert Dorer (to whom I was referred by hand surgeon Steve Brourman). I have since come to understand that my condition is inoperable and that I should expect to experience pain in my left hand for the rest of my life. This means that I will remain unable to let fellow congregants at church or children hold my left hand for fear of the excruciating pain it would cause.]

## Other acts of violence by Tony Wafford

- 4. The incident in which he hit me was the first time I was a victim of Tony Wafford's violence, but it was not the first time that I had seen Tony Wafford use or to threaten to use violence.
- 5. On or about December 4, 2004 I saw Mr. Wafford grab a man in a headlock and slam his head into a table or desk. This incident happened at the Palms' 2004 HIV/AIDS summit, which was held in the Bovard Auditorium at the University of Southern California. The attack occurred at the end of the event while I and other Palms workers were packing up.

The man, a political activist, had been either wearing a banner or carrying a sign with a political web site on it (which I viewed later) and was objecting in some way to our event on the grounds that AIDs was caused by men and resulted from doctors causing people to contract the disease.

6. In my presence, Mr. Wafford asked the man to leave. He refused. Mr. Wafford then grabbed the man, put him in a headlock and slammed his head on a table or desk. The police and an ambulance arrived about 10-15 minutes later and paramedics treated the man and put a brace on his neck.

#### Mr. Wafford's Gun

- 7. Afterwards, Mr. Wafford bragged about this in my presence and said that the man had been lucky that he (Mr. Wafford) was not carrying the handgun that he usually kept with him in a leather bag. I considered this a real threat because Mr. Wafford previously had shown me his gun while we were in the Palms' office.
- 8. I also observed Tony Wafford use the threat of violence towards a woman named Nicole Salgado, who was the County of Los Angeles' County Contract Review Monitor during the Palms' 2006/2007 contract year. Specifically, I heard Mr. Wafford tell Ms. Salgado (whom I had met and whom looked like she could be Caucasian) during a telephone call that if Ms. Salgado came to an event that the Palms was hosting, Ms. Salgado would be physically harmed by unspecified black people.

# Threats of Violence by Palms' Director Kevin Pickett and Mr. Wafford

9. Aside from Mr. Wafford, I heard Kevin Pickett, the director and founder of the Palms Residential Care Facility, threaten to use violence against others. For example, on several occasions in 2005 and 2006 when they appeared (from word and tone) to be upset at someone, I heard Mr. Wafford and Mr. Pickett talk about paying one of the resident at the Palms' Mt. Carmel Facility "to go and rough the niggah up" "and if they won't do it, then they would get one of the crack heads to do it, because they need money and it wouldn't cost them anything but a \$10 bag of crack.".

10. As best I can remember, one conversation between Mr. Wafford and Mr. Pickett in approximately the fall of 2007 went something like this:

"Kevin Pickett: Tony, can you believe that Mother Fucker [Mario Perez] is not recommending the contract be renewed to the Palms. He must be mother fuckin' crazy after all we have done in the fucking community. I can't believe he's recommending not to renew over some stupid bullshit.

Tony Wafford: I am putting a letter together right now to all the agencies to boycott this mother fucker, we're the mother fucker's who put him in position over there and he's got the fuckin' nerve to not recommend renewing.

Kevin Pickett: I have been talking to Chuck Henry and he's been telling me all about this mother fucker and all the shit he's doing down at the county and you know Chuck knows everything going on.

[Both men laugh]

Tony Wafford: I bet you he ain't doing that shit to Alta Med, his own kind, them mother fucker's haven't done half the shit that we've done. Mario was kissing the black man's mother fucking ass when he was lobbying for that position now he wants to give us his punk ass to kiss, ain't that a bitch!

Kevin Pickett: I know what I oughta do, send someone over there or to kick his mother fuckin' ass.

Tony Wafford: Naw, have one of them crack heads at the Palms or Mt. Carmel wait for him when he's going to his car or come out of his mother fucking house and beat that mother fucker's ass. This shit is fucked up.

... [The two men then began drafting a letter to various African American organizations that also are involved in issues pertaining to HIV/AIDS]

Tony Wafford: We'll get the other [African American community/political] agencies fired up, cause they owe our asses! All them mother fuckin faggot asses better speak out for us as much as we have done for them. Mario just want to give this contract to the White boys.

Kevin Pickett: I heard was a fucking white agency that was run by a Jewish mother

Tony Wafford (laughing): Now what does fucking Jews know about helping niggah's in the fucking black community. He'll mess around and get his mother fucking ass beat!

[Tony Wafford then yells out that he needs the letter he just sent over cleaned up asap because he needs this to get this letter out. Kevin Pickett appears to get riled from Tony Wafford's remarks, gets on the telephone and starts telling someone what has happened.]

Kevin Pickett: I ought to have someone go over to the County and kick Mario's mother fuckin ass! And I don't care if he works for the county or what his position is, cause that Mother Fucker wasn't saying that shit when he was lobbying for that position and we all helped him get that position at the county. . . How's that Mother Fucker gonna know I sent someone over to beat his ass, he can't prove it?!

Kevin Pickett: Tony, did you hear what I said, "How that Mother Fucker gonna know we sent someone over to kick his ass.

Tony Wafford: Before he knows anything his ass is goin' be beat down! I betcha that Mother Fucker won't be recommending shit then."

[The two men then shared a hardy laugh.]

Kevin Pickett: if that Mother Fucker don't recant, his ass is gonna get beat!"

### Tony Wafford's Harassing Requests for Sex

- 11. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of some Instant Message exchanges between me and Tony Wafford in which he persisted in asking me for sex. [These documents have been produced in this lawsuit and I was questioned about some of them at deposition.] There were many other instances in which Mr. Wafford verbally asked me for sex.
- 12. Between about November 2006 and December 2006, Mr. Wafford twice dropped his pants and masturbated in front of me at work (each time early in the morning when no

3%

one else was around). One time he asked me to mount him. Each time, I refused and walked away.

- 13. On other occasions, Mr. Wafford offered me money or presents (including help with buying a new car) if I would have sex with him. I refused these offers every time.
- 14. At some point after I continued to reject his sexual advances, Defendant Wafford effectively demoted me at work (*i.e.*, taking away responsibilities and duties) and became mean and hostile to the point that I found myself having to deal with a "Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde" who one moment would be mean but in the next moment would be sweet while entreating me to have sex with him.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on December 24, 2009.

Shavon Song Bord

The Governous and Fisher

# 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

#### Declaration of Wendy Wheaton

- My name is Wendy Wheaton. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so, would and could competently testify to the following:
- 2. I am a friend of Sharon Song Byrd.
- One day in October 2007, I received a telephone call from Sharon Byrd. Ms. Byrd sounded extremely upset (she was talking in the kind of quick, excited way that people tend to speak when something upsetting or surprising has happened to them), and she went on to tell me that her supervisor Tony Wafford had hit her on her left hand at work that morning. Ms. Byrd also told me that she had called the police as a result of this. Ms. Byrd also said that she was experiencing pain in her left hand.
- Later that evening, I called Ms. Byrd to see how she was doing. I encouraged her to get medical treatment that evening, but Ms. Byrd said she wanted to wait and see how her hand felt the next day.
- 5. The next day, I again talked with Ms. Byrd. She told me that her hand was still hurting, and we discussed what she should do. Eventually (at her request) I accompanied Ms. Byrd to the emergency room at Providence Saint Joseph Medical Center in Burbank, California. I witnessed the examination that Ms. Byrd received.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on December  $\frac{1}{2}$ , 2009.

Wendy Wheaton

(310) 394-1430

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Declaration of Steven N. Brourman, M.D.

- My name is Steven N. Brourman. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do 1. so would and could competently testify to the following:
- I am a licensed orthopedic surgeon with a specialty in hand surgery. I have treated 2. Sharon Song Byrd.
- My professional background is as follows: In 1981 I received my Doctor of Medicine 3. from the University of California at Los Angeles. After medical school I did a general residency in general surgery at Deaconess - Harvard Surgical Center at Harvard University. I later did a residency specializing in orthopedic surgery at The Hospital for Special Surgery at the New York Hospital, Cornell University Medical Center. I then completed hand-surgery fellowships at Allegheny General Hospital in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and at L'Institut Français de la Main in Paris, France.
- I am certified by the Added Qualifications for Surgery of the Hand and the American 4. Society for Surgery of the Hand.
- Since 1987, I have had my own private practice specializing in orthopedics and hand 5. surgery. A true and correct copy of my curriculum vitae is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- Plaintiff Sharon Song Byrd first came to see me on August 13, 2008. In that meeting, 6. Ms. Byrd complained of pain in her left hand (with resulting limits on how she could use it) and recounted how her supervisor at work (Tony Wafford) forcefully hit her left hand/wrist when he lost his temper with her at work one day. She also advised that she had never had any problems with her hand before this incident.
- After doing initial tests, I referred Ms. Byrd to occupational therapist Robert Dorer. 7.
- Ms. Byrd continued to complain about pain in her left hand even after receiving therapy 8. from Mr. Dorer (and after showing improvement as a result of that therapy). On July 29, 2009 I directed Ms. Byrd to get an ultrasound on her left hand, which she obtained on August 13, 2009 and which I later reviewed in conjunction with another exam of Ms. Byrd. A true and correct copy of my report dated August 17, 2009 detailing the findings

of her ultrasound is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."

9. Based on the information that I have received and my observations of Ms. Byrd, there is nothing inconsistent between the injuries that Ms. Byrd sustained in her left hand/wrist and how she has said her supervisor hit her. In other words, if Ms. Byrd was hit in the way she has said she was struck by her supervisor Mr. Wafford, the force/trauma from such a blow would have directly caused the injuries (and continuing pain) for which I have treated and examined Ms. Byrd.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on October \_\_\_\_, 2009 at Los Angeles, California.

Steven N. Brourman

;

#### Declaration of Robert E. Dorer, M.O.T., O.T.R.

2 3

My name is Robert E. Dorer. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so 1. would and could competently testify to the following:

4 5

2. I am a licensed occupational therapist who specializes in hand and upper extremity rehabilitation. I have treated Sharon Song Byrd.

6 7

8

9

10

My professional background is as follows: In 1970 I received my Bachelor of Sciences 3. in Psychology from Pennsylvania State University. I later earned a M.O.T. in Occupational Therapy in 1973 from Western Michigan University. I am certified in Occupational Therapy by the American Occupational Therapy Association and the National Board for Certification in Occupational Therapy, Inc. I also am certified by the Hand Therapy Certification Commission, Inc.

11

12

13

From 1981 to 1984, I was in a partnership called "Hand Rehabilitation Specialists"in 4. Santa Monica, CA. Since then, I have had my own private practice in Burbank, CA. A true and correct copy of my curriculum vitae is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

14

15

16

17

Plaintiff Sharon Song Byrd first came to see me on September 15, 2008 (Steven N. 5. Brourman, M.D. referred her). When I met with her then, Ms. Byrd complained of pain in her left hand and recounted how her supervisor at work (Defendant Tony Wafford) hit her left hand/wrist forcefully while he was wearing a heavy ring. I later evaluated and treated Ms. Byrd at least 36 more times.

18 19

> In my professional opinion based on my observations, tests and evaluations, the injuries 6. that Ms. Byrd sustained in her left hand/wrist are consistent with Ms. Byrd's description of how her supervisor hit her and are a direct result of that. A true and correct copy of my January 23, 2009 report describing the status of Ms. Byrd's injuries is attached

21 22

20

hereto as Exhibit "B."

24 25

23

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on September 50, 2009 at Burbank, California.

26 27

Robert E. Dorer

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### Declaration of Jeffery W. Cowan

- I Jeffery W. Cowan declare that I am licensed to practice law in the State of California and am trial counsel in this lawsuit for Plaintiff Sharon Song Byrd. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so would and could testify to the following:
- On or about July 8, 2009 defendant's counsel conducted a deposition of Sharon Song Byrd. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of pages 1, 247-255 from the certified deposition transcript of Ms. Byrd.
- On or about September 23, 2009, I deposed Nicole Salgado, an employee for the County of Los Angeles. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3, are true and correct copies of pages 1, 5-7, 13-22, 39-53, 84-86, 92-94, 113-114, 130-138, from Ms. Salgado's certified deposition transcript.
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 are true and correct copies of records from the Los Angeles Police Department (with authenticating declarations from the LAPD's custodians of records) that I obtained pursuant to a subpoena I issued in this lawsuit. The police records pertain to the December 2004 battery by Tony Wafford that Ms. Byrd has testified about in her declaration.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 are true and correct copies of pages 1, 9-17, 19-25, and 41-42 (and Exhibit 25) from the certified deposition transcript of Deputy Sheriff Matt Schwabe.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of Defendant Wafford's most recent supplemental response to form interrogatory No. 15.1.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of Ms. Byrd's response to Defendant Palms' first set of form interrogatories.
- On December 21 and 22, 2009, I talked with Defendant Wafford's lawyer Dermot Givens
  and asked if he would stipulate to Plaintiff receiving leave to conduct such discovery. On
  December 22, Mr. Givens said that his client would not do so.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I execute this declaration on December 31, 2009.

Jeffery W. Cowan

**Exhibit 1** 

Sharonsongbyrd [10:07 AM]: In your words, I didn't qualify for you...there always must be benefits or why engage????

T rafford [10:09 AM]: What do you mean by "there always must be benefits or why engage" and who say you didn't y for me?

Sharonsongbyrd [10:09 AM]: You made that CLEAR!!! When you said I DO!!!! Maybe all those girls that I was at dinner that night, actions was probably a little warped but they made a lot of sense

Tonywafford [10:14 AM]: You made that CLEAR!!! When you said I DO!!!! If I remember you didn't want a relationship with me. Maybe all those girls that I was at dinner that night, actions was probably a little warped but they made a lot of sense. Are you saying that you understand prostitution, because that's all they where talking about? They are only calling benefits selling pussy.

Sharons ongbyrd [10:17 AM]: No the conversation was more than just about that. I don't condone prostitution at all... Their definition is quite different as that was my position. Their definition was they were not going from man to man selling themselves. In their words they were looking at it from, you want me to be yours exclusively, when you are clearly sharing yourself with a person who you chose to be with for teh rest of your life.

Sharonsongbyrd [10:18 AM]: for whatever reasons...

Tonywafford [10:20 AM]: So what did they mean then by benefits?

sharonsongbyrd [10:24 AM]: My position was, "you make the decision to spend all your time with this man, who cannot really be with you and can & will be there behind closed doors only. There can never be an open relationship. He doesn't want you seeing anyone, only there for him, doesn't that cut your life short?" Their answer was that's where they felt that where their benefits had to kick in...

Tonywafford [10:26 AM]: So what are the benefits? Do they want a relationship or will benefits do until they fine that right man? Sharonsongbyrd [10:27 AM]: From what I got outof it, they looked at it like a job.. They may not like what they do...and their job could kick them to the curve at any moment, but at least they had the benefits, i.e, 401K, IRA, etc to fall back on. I did not look at like that...that's a bit unfeeling to me. It was one of the conversations I had with Keaver years ago. When she ventured into that.

sharonsongbyrd [10:31 AM]: The benefits to them was the accumulation of materialistic things that they acquired. My next question was Do You Love Him? They claimed they did but their understanding was he could and would not leave home for them. So they aimed LOW and sold out for the acquired benefits that means something to them. They were pretty in with each other to some degrees. Quite interesting...

onaronsongbyrd [10:32 AM]: And to answer your questions, benefits are whatever a person deems important to them...

Sharonsongbyrd [10:34 AM]: And the CHUCH says??? LOL

Tonywafford [10:35 AM]: So what do you call benefits? AMAN

Tonywafford [10:36 AM]: Hello church are you there? Talk back to me church!!!!

Sharons ongbyrd [10:37 AM]: Benefits to me are the WHOLE KIT A COBOODLE!!

Tonywafford [10:38 AM]: what is that

Sharonsongbyrd [10:39 AM]: him!!!

Tonywafford [10:39 AM]: Him? what is him?

Tonywafford [10:39 AM]: I don;t understand benefits and him?

Tonywafford [10:41 AM]: ben-e-fit Something that promotes or enhances well-being; an advantage: The field trip was of great benefit to the students.

Help; aid.

A payment made or an entitlement available in accordance with a wage agreement, an insurance policy, or a public assistance program.

A public entertainment, performance, or social event held to raise funds for a berson or cause.

Archaic. A kindly deed.

Tonywafford [10:42 AM]: That's what benefits means? Which are you looking for?

Sharonsongbyrd [10:44 AM]: I truly know the meaning of what benefits mean, as I said it what a persons deems important to them. Oh come on!!! you know what I'm sayin...I want him. As you say if he Qualifies, He is the Benefit...I am not a materialistic person.

Tonywafford [10:46 AM]: So the only benefit your looking for is a good man?

Tonywafford [10:46 AM]: I can feel you on that.

Sharons ongbyrd [10:47 AM]: Some women think, just to say they have a man is a clear benefit to them, it doesn't matter how he treats them. Some women deem benefits are only those things that are materialistic and seen are a benefit to them.

insongbyrd [10:49 AM]: I truly want someone who LOVES ME and a truly good human being. Who is NOT Manipulative the betterment of him!

Sharonsongbyrd [10:49 AM]: and not us

Tonywafford [10:50 AM]: Those that see benefits as only material gain i.e. house, cars, money etc. are only prostitutes calling themselves something else.

Sharonsongbyrd [10:57 AM]: Though I do not agree with it, I do understand. If someone feels they are being deterred from there pat' 'hen they should be compensated.

songbyrd [10:58 AM]: That's their definition of benefit. You feel like if you consult and set up something. you should be co. ensated for such services rendered.

Tonywafford [11:00 AM]: If one has a path, they shouldn't be deterred from it. And no amount of money, benefits or anything else will make that them reach there destination. Only by staying on there path will they reach there destination.

Tonywafford [11:02 AM]: Selling your dreams and ass for benefits wont allow you to reach you calling.

Tonywafford [11:02 AM]: Tell the sisters that!!!! AMEN!!!

Tonywafford [11:02 AM]: LOLOLOLOL

Sharonsongbyrd [11:03 AM]: Exactly. But not everyone sees that they have a path. And if they do...they are on the scenic route, so they were of for a few weeks, months, years...they feel they can get back on the road anytime they want. At that point, they have acquired more material stuff...But I say not only that, they have are carry heavy luggage.

Tonywafford [11:05 AM]: Hell you can get things just by going to work you don; thave to sell your ass for them. And if these sisters can see that. They don; t need benefits they need to see a doctor.

Sharonsongbyrd [11:06 AM]: I do not plan on having a conversation with them again. It sounded like misery loved company...It all balls down to one's morals and values. Some people will do anything for money, even whatthey may think is common sense to them at light at the end of the tunnel. But at the end of the tunnel it winds up being a cold dark and closed tunnel! Not OUT!

Tonywafford [11:07 AM]: Good you don't need them as friends!!!!!

Sharonsongbyrd [11:08 AM]: And I see that they finally decide to get back on the road, they might be a little to beat up or used up to do that. At that time the tunnel is cold, dark and a No way OUT situation. Only way out is the way they came in, if that's still an opening!

Sharonsongbyrd [11:09 AM]: I know who I AM and for the most part who's I AM. So I do not have to take that road. I am happy right where I am! Mine is coming...

Tonywafford [11:09 AM]: Or maybe they will be like a BIG BUCKET LOLOLOLOLOLOL

Shoronsongbyrd [11:10 AM]: YEP!! a big bucket no one wants...and all the glitter will have wom off all that acquired stuff LOL songbyrd [11:13 AM]: Is Rhonda one of your concubines??? who you shower lavish??? Think about this before you jump ay NO!!! LOL

Tonywafford [11:17 AM]: Rhoda is getting married tomorrow in LV and no she is one of my concubines. What do you mean, "I shower lavish?" If I where to have a concubine it would be someone like that young lady that came to see me the other day. Rhoda don't qualify.

Sharonsongbyrd [11:18 AM]: ok....if you say so

Sharonsongbyrd [11:19 AM]: And thank you very much, that answers my question, from the top of this conversation...

Tonywafford [11:20 AM]: what guestion was that?

Sharonsongbyrd [11:20 AM]: scroll up

Tonywafford [11:20 AM]: hell its a ton of things just tell me

Sharonsongbyrd [11:21 AM]: You just wrote what qualifies

Tonywafford [11:21 AM]: i don;t understand
Tonywafford [11:22 AM]: your talking in pieces

Sharonsongbyrd [11:22 AM]: ok, let's discuss??? Why would she be a perfect concubine for you?

Sharonsongbyrd [11:22 AM]: that you would lavish

Tonywafford [11:23 AM]: What do you mean by lavish? And I don't say she would be a perfect concubine.

Sharonsongbyrd [11:25 AM]: In your own words: If I where to have a concubine it would be someone like that young lady that came to see me'the other day.

Tonywafford [11:28 AM]: Chrystee would be a good concubine because she smart, we have a lot of the same friends, she's going to do big things and she's cool to hang out with.

Tonywafford [11:28 AM]: But you still didnt tell me what you mean by lavish

Tonywafford [11:29 AM]: Oh by the way Rohnda is gay LOLOLOLOL or Bi

Tonywafford [11:29 AM]: Did you know Donavan is her son?

Sharonsongbyrd [11:31 AM]: She was here the other night... that doesn't mean much... that's like saying "oh, by the way, did you know he's married.. LOL Lavish: giving or spending. Marked by a product of extravagance

I rafford [11:35 AM]: Sorry I wouldn't do the lavish things on Chrystee, Rhoda or anyone else. Why would I do the Just for some pussy? LOLOLO Hell I can get all the pussy I want anytime I want and get it for free. Hell I even know a women or two that would give me money if I needed it. LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLO I'm 50 yrs but I'm not that old yet. LOLOLOLOLOL I'm still in somewhat demand. LOLOLOLOL

Sharonsongbyrd [11:38 AM]: I believe that, the ratio contridicts that! There's about 10-15-hell 20 women to one man.

#### I think if you brought an ice cream cone, that would qualify as lavish to you!

ve that I too am in demand, I just do not act on them.

Tonywafford [11:47 AM]: So what that there are 10-15 or 20 women to every man. The question is how many qualify? what do you mean the ratio contradicts that? That's just it, "You think if I brought an ice cream cone that would qualify as lavish." I believe that you too are in demand, and only you know if you act on it or not. People may have there opposes but only you no (smile).

Tonywafford [11:53 AM]: Hello...... are you there?

Sharonsongbyrd [11:54 AM]: yep

Sharonsongbyrd [12:01 PM]: MMM???

Sharonsongbyrd [12:05 PM]: I'm not saying because you buy them a ice cream cone makes them qualify. I think that when you do buy an ice cream cone, then would then qualify them

Sharonsongbyrd [12:06 PM]: Don't understand the last part of what you said. Are you still cold back there? It's burning out here

Tonywafford [12:07 PM]: Its great back here but if you like turn it back on.

Tonywafford [12:08 PM]: It takes more than me doing something for someone for them to qualiy for being with me.

Sharonsongbyrd [12:09 PM]: I think you're a little left and extreme..its more like an analogy.

I'm more mental..

Tonywafford [12:14 PM]: The last part of what I said was, only you no what your doing and with who. People may have an option but only you know what's real. Take that guy that said you had him have sex with you. You said he was full of shit, he said you where full of shit. Only you know the truth. And it's only that truth your concerned about. That's the way I feel about my life, only I really know the rest is what others think.

s' insongbyrd [12:17 PM]: Oh ok.. I think we feel we are in demand by the amount of hit we get, or proposals . I think when you stand in who you really are you are in demand if only one person comes.

Snaronsongbyrd [12:19 PM]: and yes, I do know the truth about me, that's why that is something I do not run from It.

Tonywafford [12:24 PM]: I don't feel that one is in demand because of the amount of hits they get of the number of proposals coming there way. People get hit on daily and a nigger will propose if he thinks its in his best intrust. Like you I too know the truth about myself and that's all that matters to me. When your out there your a target for everyone something's good and something's bad. Hell when I do this book all hell is going to break out some good and some bad, but that just comes with it.

Sharonsongbyrd [12:26 PM]: It's very interesting to hear how other perceive you. And when you truly understand who you (as much as you can) then you will know if they come from truth or selfish BS.

Tonywafford [12:28 PM]: That's why I don't care how others perceive me. Its to hard just trying to make yourself happy. if you spend time trying to fit in the mold of others your not doing what makes you happy.

Tonywafford [12:30 PM]: Hell ... all of my life every time I'm with or around a women people always believed that the women was my girl. Now i kow i good but not that good LOLOLOLOL.

Sharonsongbyrd [12:30 PM]: agreed!! That's why I wear what I want and do what I want when I feel like it. I do what makes Sharon happy. There too many times in 9 1/2 years I wasn't and sat in misery.

Tonywafford [12:31 PM]: whre you in misery for 91/2 years? Why

Sharonsongbyrd [12:32 PM]: and had all the material stuff. So I not moved by those things any more. I know what it feels like to have an abundance of money...the square footage and not happy. If that is the case I will be alone and content.

Tonywafford [12:33 PM]: | understand[]][]]

onsongbyrd [12:33 PM]: It's misery not knowing what you are coming home to. Someone who's possessive and strying to tell you what you feel and what you think. Someone that is very insecure, is the PITTS!

Tonywafford [12:37 PM]: I think we need to feather this conversation in the morning.

Tonywafford [12:38 PM]:

#### OLOLOLOLOLOLOLO

St songbyrd [12:39 PM]: LOL

onsongbyrd [12:39 PM]:

Tonywafford [12:39 PM]: Is 5:30 good for you?

Sharonsongbyrd [12:41 PM]: I didn't say all that!! We have already feathered it. I have come to terms with my

participation in it. I'm healed and delivered...I've moved to the next level..and excited about it.

Tonywafford [12:42 PM]: LOLOLOLOLOLOLOL well good for you LOLOLOLOLOL. And the church said AMEN!!! LOLOLOL

Tonywafford [12:43 PM]: But you said it was mine did you forget?

Tonywafford [12:43 PM]: Sharonsongbyrd [12:43 PM]: Iol

Tonywafford [12:44 PM]: It's mine and danm it i want it

Sharonsongbyrd [12:44 PM]: You are sooooooo funnyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyy

Tonywafford [12:45 PM]: SO if i come by in the moring are you saying you will not open the door?

Tonywafford [12:45 PM]:

Sharonsongbyrd [12:47 PM]: You would not...you would only come if you were sure!

Tonywafford [12:48 PM]: I'm sure its mine and to show you that I'm sure you'll see me in the morning.

Sharons ongbyrd [12:48 PM]: I don't think so...

Tonywafford [4:00 PM]: I know you talked to him, what did he say?

s nsongbyrd [4:01 PM]: mmm?

,wafford [4:01 PM]: The master?

Tonywafford [4:01 PM]: LOLOLOL

Tonywafford [4:01 PM]: Did he say invite me over to go over the benefits?

Tonywafford [4:02 PM]: LOLOLOLOL

Sharonsongbyrd [4:02 PM]: The father on your proposal??? so that you make me an adulterer?

Tonywafford [4:03 PM]: So shall we talk about this in the morning?

Sharonsongbyrd [4:03 PM]: about making me adulterer?

Sharonsongbyrd [4:04 PM]: and taking a chance on losing favor???

Tonywafford [4:04 PM]: No about giving me what you said was mine

Sharonsongbyrd [4:05 PM]: that was before I came to my senses

Tonywafford [4:05 PM]: OK I understand.

Tonywafford [4:05 PM]: So how about one for the road?

Sharonsongbyrd [4:05 PM]: and disappoint and hurt set in

Tonywafford [4:06 PM]: Let me love all the hurt away

Sharonsongbyrd [4:06 PM]: there are no constraints on lasciviousness

Tonywafford [4:06 PM]: So i will see you in the AM?

Sharonsongbyrd [4:07 PM]: no.....the jury is still out

Tonywafford [4:08 PM]: Ok you let me know when its ok to come by.

Tonywafford [4:08 PM]: I'll see you in the am

Sharonsongbyrd [4:09 PM]: Did you just read your previous statement?

Tonywafford [4:09 PM]: Oh...... i forgot wafford [4:09 PM]: LOLOLOLOL

\_ronsongbyrd [4:09 PM]: I see

Tonywafford [4:09 PM]: So i'll see you in the am ok

Sharonsongbyrd [4:10 PM]: You keep repeating and overriding your previous statement. What's up with that?

Tonywafford [4:11 PM]: I'm in need so i get a little crazy

Tonywafford [4:11 PM]: LOLOLOL

Sharonsongbyrd [4:12 PM]: All that aside, again I didn't sleep well, I have so much on my mind and a cold is trying to catch me.

What's up with this NEED...You are laying next to warm body everynight...if anyone is I should be?????

Sharonsongbyrd [4:13 PM]: AMEN WALLS! LOL

Sharonsongbyrd [4:15 PM]: Your benefits are right next to you

Tonywafford [4:15 PM]: Well all i can say is i miss being with you. And if your not feeling it 🕮

Tonywafford [4:15 PM]: And yours can be also

Tonywafford [4:16 PM]: Let talk about this in the AM

Sharonsongbyrd [4:17 PM]: There AIN'T No benefits...been down that road oh so many times. Its just a fun game to you

Torwafford [8:50 AM]: good morning my sweet 3

nsongbyrd [8:50 AM]: morning

Tonywafford [8:51 AM]: is that all i get from you? morning

Sharonsongbyrd [8:51 AM]: Good morning...how are you????

Tonywafford [8:51 AM]: Surly you can do better than that.

Tonywafford [8:51 AM]: GOOD that's a little better

Sharonsongbyrd [8:53 AM]: you're funny!! What is it that you wanted to tellme??

Tonywafford [8:56 AM]: That I am going to start giving you \$500.00 a month to help me with the NAN.

Sharonsongbyrd [9:04 AM]: And I so look forward to that wonderful Blessing!!! I am expecting and excited about great things

happening this New Year!!!

Tonywafford [3:33 PM]: I'll see you in the morning

Tonywafford [8:41 AM]: good morning

nsongbyrd [8:41 AM]: hey

Tonywafford [8:42 AM]: do you remember when we would use this to plan our love meetings

Sharonsongbyrd [8:43 AM]: hummm??

Tonywafford [8:43 AM]: LOLOLOLOLOL Sharonsongbyrd [8:43 AM]: use this plan???

Sharonsongbyrd [8:43 AM]: Your mind is bad!! LOL

Tonyw afford [8:43 AM]: LOLOLOL

Tonywafford [8:44 AM]: yes but it was good!!!

Sharonsongbyrd [8:44 AM]: Did you write the 3 things you want Santa to bring you?

Tonywafford [8:44 AM]: you, you and you. Can you make that happen (smile).

Sharonsongbyrd [8:45 AM]: I don't think you wanna put that down...

Tonywafford [8:47 AM]: Okay here we go. Lets see 1) seeing you in a new Victoria outfit. 2) seeing you take off a Victoria outfit and 3) me taking off the panties of your Victoria outfit.

Tonywafford [8:48 AM]: I hope your is 1) to let me 2) to allow me to see it and 3) to let me.

Sharonsongbyrd [8:49 AM]: LOL

Tonywafford [8:51 AM]: LOLOL my ass...... what do you say? Lets have dinner after I go to the meeting at the African American culture center. Then go to your place and light the fire place in you room.

Sharonsongbyrd [8:52 AM]: Cannot I have a meeting after I workout.

Tonywafford [8:52 AM]: what time is the meeting over? Sharonsongbyrd [8:54 AM]: There is no set time for the meeting to be over. I'm meeting with a client for invitations. Maybe an hour or half. She's a little fickle as to what she wants, so I'm unsure.

wafford [8:55 AM]: Well your not going to talk until 9pm are you?

onsongbyrd [8:57 AM]: I hope not, but she is the driver. The meeting is set for 7:45

Tonywafford [9:00 AM]: Okay how about tomorrow night? We can lay out in front of the fire place, light some candles play some music and I will let you slap that \*\*\* (smile).

Tonywafford [9:02 AM]: Okay how about tomorrow night? We can lay out in front of the fire place, light some candles play some music and I will let you slap that \*\*\* (smile).

Tonywafford [9:47 AM]: Did you receive my last IM?

Tonywafford [9:58 AM]: Now back to our conversation.

Tonywafford [10:10 AM]: Okay getting back to our conversation ... so did you get my email?

Sharonsongbyrd [10:11 AM]: yes, I did...

Tonywafford [10:12 AM]: You do remember it was last year that we started something that we do need to continue.

Sharonsongbyrd [10:37 AM]: Is that right?

Tonywafford [10:38 AM]: right!!!!!!

Tonywafford [10:38 AM]: So lets pick this back up?

Tonywafford [10:39 AM]: As much as I try I always fine myself going back to you.

Sharonsongbyrd [10:40 AM]: As much as you try what??? to walk away??? Is that why you have been so excrutiating mean to

Tonywafford [10:40 AM]: Yes

Sharonsongbyrd [10:40 AM]: thanks for being honest!!

Tonywafford [10:41 AM]: your welcome and i'm sorry Sharonsongbyrd [10:42 AM]: wow!! doing good does not feel good!

Tonywafford [10:42 AM]: what do you mean?

ronsongbyrd [10:44 AM]: You know, doing what is right, by your union of marriage. If I listen to you extremely well, I would ...ik that you are head over heels in love with your wife.

Tonywafford [10:46 AM]: I'm talking about you and me.

Sharonsongbyrd [10:47 AM]: So am I. I did not ver off from it, the statement was, me trying to do what is right!!

Tonywafford [10:49 AM]: Okay I understand, and I will support you in that. I guess our timing was just of Know that I will always love you.  Justongbyrd [10:50 AM]: I know that you love me and not only because you said it.  Honywafford [10:50 AM]: thank you					
a	\$1				
			727	ä	
34)			51		
				a.	
*					
ξ.					
A B	* " %			r.	
				** :	
		27		2 <del>2</del>	
			0 W	æ.	
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\					
·*					

Exhibit 2

	l .
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT
3	
4	ORIE: N.L
5	SHARON SONG BYRD, an individual, )
6	Plaintiff, )
7	vs. ) Case No.
8	TONY WAFFORD, an individual, THE ) BC 403677 PALMS RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITY, a )
9	California corporation, and DOES 1 ) through 100,
10	Defendants. )
11	)
12	
13	
14	
15	DEPOSITION OF
16	SHARON SONG BYRD
	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
17	WEDNESDAY, JULY 8, 2009
18	
19	
20	
21	ATKINSON-BAKER, INC.
22	COURT REPORTERS (800) 288-3376
23	www.depo.com
24	REPORTED BY: MARY FERGUSON, CSR NO. 8769
25	FILE NO.: A3048A6

- A. There were no e-mails that he hit me.
- Q. Why didn't you go see Dr. Nathan?

A. Because at that point in time, Kevin -- I was
on leave of -- Kevin put me on paid leave of absence,
and during that time Kevin kept calling me, threatening
me, badgering me, interrogating me that one moment
Tony -- Tony just touched my hand; one moment Tony
just -- Tony did this, and then he really didn't hit my
hand. So I didn't trust Kevin.

Kevin, at that point, had told me that he was doing what he was going to do to rectify the situation, but he never at any point -- he was calling me, basically, interrogating me, telling me that Tony's wife had called the police deputies and spoke to them and telling me that ER doctors weren't -- ER doctors weren't doctors.

So at that point, I didn't trust Kevin or anything that he was -- because he basically lied to me the whole time.

- O. Did you have health insurance at that time?
- A. No, I did not.
- Q. You said Mr. Wafford hit you on October 11, 2007.
- 24 Can you describe how he hit you?
  - A. When he came in, he was -- he had said -- he

basically was yelling at me. This was about 8:20 in the
morning.

Mr. Wafford began -- when he walked in, he said, "Byrd, we have to get this documentation together for the County -- this County meeting today."

I didn't say anything.

Then he yelled again, asking me had I -something about a document, a letter that he had asked
me to send out or document, and I didn't recall the
document, so I said, "I don't recall the document that
you are talking about."

I said, "I don't recall mailing a document out."

He said, "I did so."

I said to him, "If you told me to mail it out, then I mailed it out."

After that -- then he told me the letter -- it was a letter of support that the Centinel had wrote.

I said, "Then we would have that letter in our review binder."

So when I walked toward my desk to get the review binder and put it on my desk to open it up and pull the document out for him, as I am turning the pages of the review binder, he says -- he begins to yell again, "Byrd, if we don't get all this paperwork

1 together, you are not going to have a job come January
2 2008."

And I just said "Hmmm" (phonetic).

And he said "Hmmm. Hmmm, my ass."

I said to Tony, "Can you just talk to me and stop yelling at me?"

So I went on to keep turning the pages of the thick binder to get to the document, and as I got to the document, he said -- he was steady just yelling, and I just said, "Tony, you know, I am not going to take too much more of this from you."

As I got up and got ready to go out, because at that point I was at that page I was going to give him, and as I got up he came running around the desk and cornered me and wouldn't let me out.

At that point I just said, "I am not going to take much more if you can't talk to me in a civilized way."

And as I was getting ready to get up, he cornered me. And my desk is right up on me, and in back of me is a direct wall, so the chair only pushes back just a couple of inches or so, just enough to turn and let you out.

So he comes up to me and says, "I am not going to take your bullshit anymore. What have you done for

1 me?" 2 He is yelling, screaming to me, "What have you done for me?" 3 By this time, he is direct, toe to toe, and 4 standing over me, yelling at me and, you know, basically 6 he -- his stomach is on mine, touching me, so I put my hands up, and I said, "Tony, just back up off of me. 7 8 Just back up off of me." 9 So he wouldn't back up off of me until I backed up and went to the middle of the desk. 10 11 Then he went back to the front of the desk and began retorting at me, I didn't do this, I didn't do 12 13 that and began -- now he wanted to tell me what I had 14 asked him, basically when I asked him in his office, 15 what had I done. 16 So he basically says, "You can't do this; you can't write a letter; you can't take notes; you can't 17 18 do this." I said, "Well, Tony, what are you talking 19 about? I have notes here --" 20 21 He said something about Kevin -- I didn't tell 22 him about some meeting or something. I said, "What are you talking about? Here are 23

the notes right here."

24

25

I pulled them up and every time he was telling

me something, I am explaining to him. He is telling me that I can't do this and I can't do that, and that time I said to him, you know, "I have a degree. You are the one who has -- you have the IQ of a 7th grader, bully mentality."

So we go on, and he is getting mad and he is getting -- I mean, extremely mad, so he then keeps yelling at me and so forth, and I said, "You know, Tony, why don't we talk about what this is really about? This is not about a document," you know.

He says -- you know -- I remember he just goes on and on and on, because I said, "You are using a personal vendetta for a professional firing."

He gets very, very angry with me, and then, as

I am -- we are talking, he says -- I remember he -- he

is at my desk, and he is just pointing at me, and he

then -- then he -- as he is walking away, he says, "I am

not going to kiss your ass."

And I said, "I am not going to kiss your ass."

And I said, "But the problem is, I won't allow you to kiss my ass."

And that fires him up.

Tony comes -- as I am walking out to get out now, he runs back to the other side and as the -- the side that I am going out of, and he corners me there.

So I said to him, "Tony, back up off of me; I am not playing with you."

And as he is talking and he is just cussing me out and saying all kinds of crazy stuff, I back up again and go in the middle of the desk. He goes around to the front of the desk.

So at this point in time, I am standing up, and as I am standing up, he then says -- he is just going off and, "You are this," and he is saying all of these crazy things.

And I said, "Tony, get your hands out of my face," because his body is leaned over my desk. I have all of these things at the front of my desk, and they were falling down.

So I said, "You know, Tony --" and he is extremely mad. I mean, he had basically lost it.

He says -- so I said, "Tony, move your hands out of my face."

He tells me to put my hands down.

I said, "Why am I putting my hands down when you have your hands all in my face?"

Then he goes on as he is just cussing me out.

And his face is just totally like -- like something out of a movie and -- like a monster out of a movie, I should say.

1 So he then says, "If you -- " he says, "If you don't put your hands down -- " no, he says, "If you put 2 your hands up one more time, I am going to slap the 3 hell out of you." 4 I said, "Tony, you better not hit me, because 5 I am not your child." 6 He says, "If you put your hands back up," so 7 he comes in, because he is mad and he is still leaning 8 over on my desk and pointing his finger in my face. 9 And basically his arm is pretty stretched. It's about 10 maybe three or four inches from my face. 11 So when he says that -- and he is coming at me 12 13 and I basically do this, because I don't know what he is 14 going to do because he is --15 MR. GIVENS: For the record, she put her hands 16 up. MR. COWAN: Hands up, palm out, in front of her 17 face in kind of a defensive posture. 18 19 THE WITNESS: So I guess when I got -- not guess, but when I got ready to put my hands up, he -- I 20 21 am looking dead in his face. 22 I never saw his left hand coming, and he came like this and hit me right on my pointer finger bone. 23

BY MR. GIVENS:

Q. So you were sitting?

24

25

No, I was standing up. 1 Α. 2 Q. Behind your desk? Behind my desk. 3 Α. He was standing? Q. 4 Across my desk. Α. He was across your desk? 6 Q. Leaning into my desk. 7 Α. 8 Q. Leaning into your desk? Uh-huh. 9 Α. Did he strike you with his right hand or left 10 0. 11 hand? He struck me with his left hand. 12 Α. So he is facing you? 13 Q. 14 Α. Right. And you are facing him? 15 0. 16 I am facing him and he is facing me, yeah. Α. And he is directly in front of you? 17 He is probably -- he can't be directly. He is 18 sort of at an angle, because my screen is sitting -- --19 20 Q. As you are looking at him, is he more to the right of you or more to the left of you? 21 He would be more to my right because my screen 22 sits -- is sitting to my left. 23 Q. When he -- how did his hand -- did his hand hit 24 25 your hand?

```
1
         Α.
             Yes.
            How did his hand hit your hand?
2
         Q.
        A. He opened -- he came around, just open, hit me
3
     like that.
 4
5
             He came, and he open -- he open -- he didn't
     punch me. He open-slapped me.
 6
            Open palm?
7
         0.
        Α.
             Yeah.
8
9
         Q. The top of your hand --
        A. He caught my hand right where my pointer
10
     finger, that pointer finger bone right there. Whatever
11
     that bone is.
12
             MR. COWAN: You want a closer look at her
13
14
     hand?
            MR. GOLDBERG: She is demonstrating. I want
15
16
     to see --
             MR. COWAN: No problem.
17
             MR. GIVENS: That's the index finger?
18
             THE WITNESS: The index finger.
19
     BY MR. GIVENS:
20
         Q. So his open palm of his left hand hit your
21
     index finger?
22
         A. No.
23
24
         Q. How did it happen?
         A. The open palm hit the back of my hand right
25
```

Exhibit 3

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	CERTIFIED COPY
4	SHARON SONG BYRD, an
5	individual )
6	Plaintiff, )
7	VS. ) NO. BC403677
8	TONY WAFFORD, an individual, ) THE PALMS RESIDENTIAL CARE )
9	FACILITY, a California ) Corporation, and Does 1 )
10	through 100,
11	Defendants. )
12	
13	
14	
15	DEPOSITION OF
16	NICOLE MARIE SALGADO
17	SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA
18	WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 2009
19	
20	
21	ATKINSON-BAKER, INC. COURT REPORTERS
22	(800) 288-3376 www.depo.com
23	REPORTED BY: LAURI PULLMAN, CSR. NO. 8985
24	FILE NO. A307415
25	

1	NICOLE MARIE SALGADO,	
2	having been first duly sworn, was	
3	examined and testified as follows:	
4		
5	DEPOSITION OFFICER: Do you solemnly swear that	
6	the testimony that you are about to give in this matter	
7	shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	
8	truth, so help you God?	
9	MS. SALGADO: I do.	
10		
11	EXAMINATION	
12	BY MR. COWAN:	
13	Q. Good morning.	
14	A. Good morning.	
15	Q. Would you please state your full name for the	10:37
16	record.	
17	A. Nicole Marie Salgado.	
18	Q. Great. You work for the County of Los Angeles?	
19	A. Yes, sir, I do.	
20	Q. Since when?	10:38
21	A. Since 1988.	
22	Q. What's your current title?	
23	A. Assistant staff analyst, comma, Health	
24	Services.	
25	Q. At some point, did you have a position with the	10:38

1	County of Los Angeles that involved supervising or	
2	interacting with The Palms Residential Care Facility?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. When was that?	
5	A. In 2006, I believe.	10:38
6	Q. What was your job title then?	
7	A. Contract program auditor.	
8	Q. What were your responsibilities as a contract	
9	program auditor?	
10	A. Review, audit, monitor contracts with the	10:38
11	Office of AIDS Programs and Policy.	
12	Q. And specifically, what did that mean you were	
13	supposed to do with respect to The Palms Residential	
14	Care Facility?	
15	A. With respect to all the contracts that I	10:38
16	monitor, I had to make sure that they were meeting the	
17	performance goals and the	
18	THE REPORTER: Slow down, please.	
19	THE WITNESS: With all the contracts that I	
20	monitored, my responsibilities pertained to making sure	10:38
21	that each contract met its service calls and objectives	
22	outlined in the contract. So, basically, I was	
23	reviewing the performance with regards to the	
24	programatic criteria established in the contract.	
25	Q. BY MR. COWAN: We are going to get into more	10:39

1	detail in a moment.	
2	But at some point, while you were interacting	
3	in your capacity as with your position for the County	
4	of Los Angeles, did you ever have any experiences in	
5	which you believe you were either threatened or	10:39
6	subjected to acts of violence or physical intimidation	
7	by anyone acting on behalf Palms Residential Care	
8	Facility?	
9	MR. GOLDBERG: Vague and ambiguous, lacks	
10	foundation, not likely to lead to the discovery of	10:39
11	admissible evidence.	
12	MS. ROSS: You can answer if you know the	
13	question.	
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
15	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Who was the person who did this?	10:40
16	A. There were several people or employees of	
17	The Palms Residential, the contract that I monitored,	
18	Kevin Pickett, Tony Wafford, and Cynthia Tucker.	
19	Q. Okay. And have you we are going to come	
20	back to those in just a second.	10:40
21	So we have got by the way, have you ever	
22	heard of any of your other colleagues either	
23	experiencing or being threatened with violence or some	
24	other kind of harm by anyone acting on behalf of Palms	
25	Residential Care Facility?	10:40

1	Q. Okay. At some point, did you ever experience	
2	Kevin Pickett doing something that you understood to be	
3	a threat or an act of violence?	
4	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, compound, vague and	
5	ambiguous, lacks foundation and is not likely to lead to	10:46
6	the discovery of admissible evidence.	
7	THE WITNESS: I can answer?	
8	MS. ROSS: Yes.	
9	THE WITNESS: Yes. One time.	
10	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Okay. When was that?	10:46
11	A. 2007 was the year that I can recall. I can't	
12	recall the month or the day or the time.	
13	Q. As best as you can recall, was it summertime,	
14	springtime, holiday season?	
15	A. My memory is fuzzy on that, so I just recall it	10:46
16	was in 2007 during the daytime, during my working hours.	
17	Q. What happened?	
18	A. There was a telephone conversation well,	
19	actually, let me back up.	
20	I was contacted by Kevin Pickett at my office,	10:46
21	and the nature of the call was to apologize to me for	
22	some prior statements that was made to my person. I was	
23	informed that I was on speaker call or speakerphone	
24	call, and that Kevin Pickett, in addition to	
25	Tony Wafford, were on the conference call.	10:47
1		

1	And during the course of that call, it became	
2	very heated, very argumentative, and statements were	
3	made where it led me to believe that I would not be	
4	welcomed to certain community forums or meetings, that I	
5	will not be welcomed, that I may be forced out	10:47
6	physically from the room.	
7	MR. GOLDBERG: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that	
8	last.	
9	(The record was read by the reporter as	
10	follows:	10:47
11	"Answer: I may be forced out physically from	
12	the room.")	
13	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Here is what I would like to ask	
14	you to do, so I can break this down. As best as you	
15	can and I understand you're not a human tape recorder	10:47
16	and that you're not going to be able to perfectly	
17	reconstruct this. But as best as you can, tell me	
18	precisely what was said in this conversation.	
19	In other words, I said this, then Kevin Pickett	
20	said this, and then I said Z, and Tony Wafford said X,	10:48
21	and then Kevin Pickett said J, and then I said D. Okay?	
22	Let's back up for one second.	
23	What was the phone call or the statement,	
24	rather, that was you understood was the basis for the	
25	apology that started this phone call?	10:48

1	MR. GOLDBERG: I'm going to object to the whole	
2	line of questioning as not related to the subject matter	
3	of this litigation or the claims in this litigation.	
4	THE WITNESS: Can I answer?	
5	MS. ROSS: Yes, you can answer. His objections	10:48
6	are for a later date for the judge to decide.	
7	THE WITNESS: The reason that the phone call	
8	was made as conveyed to me by Kevin Pickett, when I	
9	first was assigned the contracts, my employer, with	
10	myself included, conducted and I refer to this as	10:48
11	this meet-and-greet, let's meet the contractor, let's	
12	meet the people working on the contract, and in turn,	
13	meet the people who are going to be monitoring the	
14	contract.	
15	During that initial meeting, there were	10:49
16	statements made to my person that made me feel that I	
17	would not be able to professionally conduct my job as I	
18	was required to do, that there was issues beyond the	
19	scope of the contract, much deeper issues that later	
20	came to to the forefront.	10:49
21	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Let's back up.	
22	Who made these statements?	
23	A. Tony Wafford made these statements.	
24	Q. What did Tony Wafford tell you when you first	
25	came on this meet-and-greet?	10:49

1	A. There were several people in the room; myself;	
2	my immediate supervisor, David Pieribone;	
3	William String; and Kyle Baker, all who worked with the	
4	Office of AIDS Programs and Policy at that time. OAPP	
5	is the acronym that we use internally.	10:50
6	The contract that I was assigned was entitled	
7	"Community Development Initiative." The purpose and the	
8	goal of the contract was to bring about more awareness	
9	and more tolerance and more acceptance within the	
10	African-American community in regards to HIV.	10:50
11	Tony felt at that time, because I am not	
12	African-American, that I would not understand the	
13	purpose and the nature and the goals of the contract and	
14	made comments to the fact, to me, well, she is not	
15	black, she is white, how is she going to understand the	10:51
16	contract.	
17	So that was my first my first interaction	
18	with The Palms Residential facility and Tony Wafford.	
19	I immediately reported that statement to my	
20	chain of command. The result of that statement produced	10:51
21	a letter from my office, from the director of OAPP,	
22	Mario Perez. That letter was addressed to Kevin Pickett	
23	and outlines, in a general sense, the happenings of that	
24	meeting and how that type of behavior and statements	
25	would not be tolerated.	10:51

1	Q. By the way, when Mr. Wafford made that	
2	statement, did you understand his tone to be sympathetic	
3	or hostile or something else?	
4	A. I understood it and I interpreted it to be very	
5	hostile towards my person.	10:51
6	Q. Okay.	
7	A. I continued on with the contract. It became	
8	very hard to do my job, but I did my job. There was	
9	blockades that they tried to put up, "they" meaning	
10	The Palms.	10:52
11	Q. What do you mean by "blockades"?	
12	A. Not making documents available to me that I	
13	needed to do my job, lagging on requests for	
14	information, just things that seemed to take a very long	
15	time for them to produce. And oftentimes, I was told we	10:52
16	don't have that and we will not give that to you.	
17	Q. I'm sorry, they said we don't have it, or we	
18	have it and we will not give it to you?	
19	A. Depending on what I was asking for.	
20	Q. Okay.	10:52
21	A. A couple of weeks after that letter was	
22	delivered to Palms, I received a phone call from	
23	Tony Pickett (sic) at my office and told me that they	
24	wanted to apologize for the behavior and their	
25	statement, and that Tony Wafford was on the conference	10:52

call.

During the course of that call, I was told by

Tony that I was not very sensitive, that I was probably

not the best person to work on that contract. I replied

to him that I was selected personally by the director of 10:53

OAPP to handle this contract. For whatever reason, that

was not conveyed to me, but I have the contract.

I am not being pushed off the contract. I am here to do my job. I am here to learn from them, I'm not here to battle with them. I just want to do my job 10:53 and do it well.

I was told that if I appeared at certain

community forums, community meetings, under the services

being provided under the contract, that I wouldn't be

welcomed because I am a white girl and that I might be 10:53

physically removed from these meetings.

- Q. Who said that?
- 18 A. Tony Wafford.
  - Q. And you understood Kevin Pickett was present?
- 20 A. Yes, he was. 10:53

And I told Kevin I do not want to engage in
this type of conversation with you. You are the
contractor, I am the County of Los Angeles. You need to
do the contract based on what's said in the contract.

My skin color has no relevancy on how effective I can 10:54

1 monitor the contract, and I am not going to engage in 2 this conversation any longer. Kevin told me to calm down, get things in 3 perspective, because they did not need another letter 4 going to them from Mario Perez, the director of OAPP. 10:54 5 I told him that if I were to appear at these 6 7 meetings, I would do so under the course of my employer, 8 under the contract, and I do not take well to being made to feel that I wouldn't be welcomed there, or based on 9 my skin color, would I be escorted from the building. 10:54 10 I asked, are these threats that you're giving 11 to me, because if these are threats, I need to report 12 them. They assured me they were not threats, they were 13 14 just facts, that the African-American community is very exclusive when it comes to sensitive issues regarding 10:55 15 their community, and it would be hard for them to accept 16 17 a person who was not African-American who was overseeing a contract of this nature. 18 Q. When Mr. Wafford and Mr. Pickett -- first of 19 20 all, was it Mr. Wafford or Mr. Pickett who said this 10:55 last part about the African-American community being 21 sensitive and not welcoming --22 A. Mr. Wafford. 23 Q. When he said that, was his tone -- did you 24 perceive his tone to be hostile or to be friendly or 25

1	supportive or something else?	
2	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, vague and ambiguous,	
3	not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible	
4	evidence, outside the scope of the pleadings.	
5	THE WITNESS: I took it to be very hostile.	10:55
6	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Let's talk a little bit more	
7	about what you just part of what you just told me	
8	where you were told that if you showed up, you would be	
9	escorted.	
10	Was that from Mr. Wafford or from Mr. Pickett?	10:56
11	A. That was from Mr. Wafford.	
12	Q. Do you remember specifically what Mr. Wafford	
13	said with respect to this idea that if you attended a	
14	function in your capacity as a monitor for the County of	
15	Los Angeles, that would not be welcome simply and	10:56
16	would be removed from the premises because of the	
17	pigmentation of your skin?	
18	A. Correct.	
19	Q. As best as you remember, what words came out of	
20	his mouth, if you can remember?	10:56
21	A. That I would not be welcomed, I would not be	
22	well received, and it may come down to the fact where I	
23	would be physically escorted from the meeting.	
24	Q. Did Mr. Wafford said who would physically	
25	escort you?	10:56

1	A. No, sir, he did not.	
2	Q. Did Mr. Wafford say that he would do his best,	
3	as someone acting on behalf of The Palms, to stop people	
4	from prohibiting you from conducting your duties as a	
5	government employee?	10:56
6	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, argumentative,	
7	misstates testimony, lacks foundation, not likely to	
8	lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.	
9	THE WITNESS: No, sir, he did not.	
10	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Did Mr. Pickett ever interject	10:57
11	in this conversation and say anything to suggest that he	
12	would make efforts to prevent anyone attending such an	
13	event from keeping you from carrying out your duties as	
14	a government employee?	
15	A. No, sir, he did not.	10:57
16	Q. Did Mr. Pickett ever say anything to suggest	
17	that Mr. Wafford was speaking out of line?	
18	A. No, sir, he did not.	
19	Q. Did he say anything to indicate any	
20	disagreement of any kind with what Mr. Wafford said?	10:57
21	A. No, sir, he did not.	
22	Q. Did you take what Mr. Pickett said or did not	
23	say as an adoption or ratification of what Mr. Wafford	
24	was saying to you?	
25	A. Can you rephrase that, sir.	10:57

2		
1	Q. Sure.	
2	Based on what Mr. Pickett said or didn't say	
3	after Mr. Wafford made these comments to you, did you	
4	understand him to be agreeing or disagreeing with the	
5	position that Tony Wafford was giving on behalf of	10:57
6	The Palms?	
7	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, calls for	
8	speculation, lacks foundation that led to leads to	
9	the discovery of admissible evidence, outside the	
10	pleadings.	10:58
11	THE WITNESS: I perceived that he was in	
12	agreement with Tony's opinion.	
13	Q. BY MR. COWAN: After this conversation	
14	occurred, what did you do I am sorry, let me back up.	
15	Did anything else take place in this	10:58
16	conversation that you haven't already told me about?	
17	A. Not that I can recall, sir?	
18	Q. Is there anything that would help you remember?	
19	A. Probably not. It happened quite some time ago.	
20	I couldn't give you specific details, but I do recall	10:58
21	how I felt.	
22	Q. Did you take any notes about this?	
23	A. No. Actually, after the phone call ended, I	
24	reported the incident to my chain of command.	
25	Q. To whom did you report it?	10:59

.1	A. No. It was always in the context of doing my	
2		
3		
	- In the buggesting you	
4	were going to the ball game with Mr. Wafford.	
5	A. Okay.	11:28
6	Q. I wanted to confirm that the only occasion that	
7	you would interact with him would be in the course of	
8	these particular events.	
9	A. Absolutely.	
10	MS. ROSS: Plus the prior testimony, the	11:28
11	meet-and-greet.	
12	MR. COWAN: Yes.	
13	MS. ROSS: Okay.	
14	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Is that right?	
15	A. Correct, sir.	11:29
16	Q. Were there occasions let me back up.	
17	How many occasions you told me once about a	
18	phone call where Mr. Wafford said something about you	
19	being removed from the premises if you attended an	
20	event.	11:29
21	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, misstates the	
22	testimony, not likely to lead to the discovery of	
23	admissible evidence.	
24	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Did I misstate your testimony?	
25	A. No, sir, you did not.	11:29
1		11

1	Q. Did that happen did Mr. Wafford make	
2	statements to that effect more than once?	
3	A. In my presence?	
4	Q. To you.	
5	A. To me.	11:29
6	I can only recall that one specific time during	
7	that phone conversation.	
8	Q. And during that conversation, did he say	
9	anything about you did he ever use the word "attack,"	
10	or did he simply talk about you being removed?	11:29
11	A. If I do recall correctly, it was physically	
12	removed from the premises or physically escorted from	
13	the premises.	
14	Q. Now, you told me a moment ago that when you	
15	first met Mr. Wafford, he made some comment to you about	11:30
16	you not being able to some comment that pertained to	
17	the fact that The Palms dealt with the African-American	
18	community and you were not African-American.	
19	A. Correct.	
20	Q. As best as you can remember, precisely what	11:30
21	words did he say?	
22	A. During the meet-and-greet meeting, "She is a	
23	white girl. How is she going to work the contract?"	
24	Q. Are you Caucasian, by the way?	
25	A. I am biracial, sir.	11:31

1	Q. Okay. How did you take his comment?	
2	MR. GOLDBERG: It's outside the scope of the	
3	pleadings, vague and ambiguous.	
4	THE WITNESS: I took the comment as very	
5	unprofessional. It angered me personally. I don't base	11:31
6	someone's performance on the color of their skin.	
7	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Right. And did you perceive the	
8	comment to be hostile?	
9	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, vague and ambiguous,	
10	argumentative, leading, not likely to lead to the	11:31
11	discovery of admissible evidence.	
12	MR. GIVENS: Objection, asked and answered.	
13	MR. GOLDBERG: Join.	
14	THE WITNESS: His physical demeanor, when he	
15	made the statement, made me feel that it was a hostile	11:31
16	statement.	
17	Q. BY MR. COWAN: What was his physical demeanor	
18	when he made the statement?	
19	A. His voice was very, very loud. He threw his	
20	hands up in the air and brought them down to the table.	11:32
21	Q. He slammed them on the table?	
22	A. I wouldn't use the word "slammed," but they	
23	were placed loudly on the table.	
24	Q. And especially if you can remember, this was	
25	what month of what year?	11:32

1	A. I was given the contract in January, so it had	
2	to be late January or early February of 2006.	
3	Q. At the time let's back up.	
4	Do you have an estimate as to how tall	
5	Mr. Wafford is?	11:32
6	A. I am five-nine and a half without heels. He is	
7	taller than me, so I would assume he is five-ten or	
8	higher.	
9	Q. Do you have any estimate as to how much he	
10	weighed then?	11:32
11	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, not likely to lead to	
12	the discovery of admissible evidence, outside the scope	
13	of the pleadings.	
14	THE WITNESS: I am not a small girl. He is	
15	bigger than me. I would assume his weight is maybe 260,	11:33
16	265.	
17	Q. BY MR. COWAN: During all the time that you	
18	interacted with Mr. Wafford, did you observe his weight	
19	to fluctuate much?	
20	A. No.	11:33
21	Q. So it always was in about that range, as you	
22	estimated?	
23	A. Correct, sir.	
24	Q. On the occasions when you interacted let's	
25	back up.	11:33

1	Did Mr. Wafford later make additional comments	
2	relating to your ethnicity and the fact that you're not	
3	African-American?	
4	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, outside the scope of	
5	the pleading, lacks foundation.	11:33
6	MR. GIVENS: Objection, vague as to time.	
7	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question	
8	again, ma'am.	
9	(The record was read by the reporter as	
10	follows:	
11	"Question: On the occasions when you	
12	interacted let's back up.	
13	"Did Mr. Wafford later make additional comments	
14	relating to your ethnicity and the fact that you're not	
15	African-American?")	11:34
16	THE WITNESS: I was told that additional	
17	comments were made regarding my ethnicity.	
18	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Who told you that?	
19	A. Ms. Song Byrd.	
20	Q. My client?	11:34
21	A. Yes, sir, your client.	
22	Q. When did she tell you that?	1
23	A. It was several times that she told me that. I	0
24	would say during the year, middle part of 2006, up until	
25	where I was no longer monitoring the contract.	11:34

1	Q. Did you ever have any occasions with	
2	Mr. Wafford where he was friendly or welcoming?	
3	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, vague and ambiguous,	
4	compound, outside the scope of the pleadings.	
5	THE WITNESS: During my interactions with	11:35
6	Tony and this is just my opinion, sir as he became	
7	aware of the fact that his tactics did not deter me in	
8	doing my job, his demeanor lessened. I don't want to	
9	call it friendly, but became more a slight more	
10	respectful and a slight more professional.	11:35
11	Q. BY MR. COWAN: You just used the word	
12	"tactics." Is it your opinion that Mr. Wafford, when	
13	he, in the beginning, was interacting with you, was	
14	using a particular tactic?	
15	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, vague and ambiguous,	11:35
16	calls for speculation, lacks foundation, outside the	
17	scope of the pleadings.	
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, I refer to them as tactics.	2
19	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Would you call them scare	
20	tactics?	11:36
21	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, vague and ambiguous,	
22	argumentative, leading, outside the scope of the	
23	pleadings.	
24	THE WITNESS: I call them intimidation tactics.	
25	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Tell me everything that	11:36

1	Mr. Wafford was doing to you when you first started	
2	working with him that caused you to believe he was using	
3	intimidation tactics?	
4	A. For the first few quarterly meetings that I	
5	participated in and oversaw, the conference room similar	11:36
6	to this one that we would use was very small. It was	
7	smaller than this.	
8	When I would enter the room	
9	Q. Was this the room at The Palms?	
10	A. Yes, sir, it was in with The Palms on the	11:36
11	La Brea location.	
12	He would situate himself to where he was near	
13	the door or the entrance, because there wasn't a	
14	door, it was just walking in, if I recall correctly.	
15	And when we would go to leave or exit the conference, he	11:37
16	would stand where I would either one of us would have	
17	to move. I would have to walk around him, or I would	
18	have to wait for him to move. And I always chose to	
19	walk around him.	
20	Q. Are you saying that he would, essentially,	11:37
21	block you from being able to walk directly out of the	
22	room?	
23	A. I felt that he was doing that. Sometimes there	
24	was a conversation being held by him and some other	
25	person. I just it just seemed to always happen with	11:37

1	me, from my perspective.	
2	Q. Did you ever observe Mr. Wafford to	
3	intentionally move so that he was blocking your way?	
4	A. Intentionally move. That's hard to say.	
5	Just when the meetings ended, I had to get	11:38
6	out of the room, I would have to go through the	
7	passageway. And seven out of nine times, he was always	
8	situated right there, either with someone else or by	
9	himself. And I would I would either wait for him to	
10	get out of my way or I would walk around him.	11:38
11	He did not I just found it unusual that he	
12	was always there at that time, after each meeting,	
13	when I didn't see a reason for him to be there in	
14	that particular passageway. Everyone else would he	
15	would move for the other ladies that were with Palms.	11:38
16	He would not move for me.	
17	Q. So you would observe, at the end of these	
18	meetings, that when other women were trying to leave the	
19	room, he would step aside so they could pass by, but	
20	when you tried to move to pass by, he would not move?	11:38
21	A. Seven out of the nine times, he would not move.	
22	I would have to walk around him.	
23	Q. Did you have reason to believe, on these seven	
24	out of nine times when Mr. Wafford didn't move for you,	
25	but did move for other women, that he couldn't see you?	11:39

8	A. No. We were looking each other right in the	
2	eye.	
333	Q. Are you familiar with the concept of personal	
4	space?	
5	A. Yes, sir, I am.	11:39
6	Q. Do you understand that I just want to make	
7	sure we have a clear record.	
8	Do you understand that to be the concept that	
9	it's socially acceptable to get only within a certain	
10	distance of somebody with whom you don't have a very	11:39
11	close, intimate relationship, whether it's family or	
12	friendship or otherwise?	
13	A. Absolutely. I practice that a lot within my	
14	career.	
15	Q. Okay. What's your understanding of what's an	11:39
16	appropriate personal space in a business context?	
17	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, lacks foundation,	
18	calls for speculation, leading, argumentative, not	
19	likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.	
20	THE WITNESS: The distance that you and me have	11:39
21	right now between the tables from us is a comfortable	
22	respectable professional distance. Outside of that, it	
23	would not be professional.	
24	Q. BY MR. COWAN: We are seated, and the table is	
25	about four feet across.	11:40

1	A. And considering the type of relationship that	
2	me and Tony had, I always tried to maintain that	
3	distance.	
4	Q. Would you and Mr. Wafford ever interact	
5	standing and talking?	11:40
6	A. No, it was usually at a table.	
7	Q. Did you ever experience Mr. Wafford getting	
8	into your personal space, in other words, coming closer	
9	to you than you felt was socially appropriate?	
10	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, vague and ambiguous,	11:40
11	lacks foundation, outside the scope of the pleading.	
12	THE WITNESS: When I needed to leave the	
13	conference room, that passageway, if he was there,	
14	that that personal distance was compromised.	
15	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Were there any other times where	11:41
16	you were not seated, standing, but up, standing,	
17	walking, where Mr. Wafford moves, that you found him to	
18	be closer than was comfortable, other than what you've	
19	already described?	
20	A. You know what, I do recall one situation during	11:41
21	the course of my job. I was at a contracting meeting	
22	that brought agencies together at the table. It was	
23	being held at Whittier Rio Hondo AIDS project. He was	
24	there and along with other agencies.	
25	And the way that he approached me let me know	11:41

1	that he was told I was on the contract. He came up to	
2	me, and he was walking I stood up and we shook hands.	
3	We introduced each other. He told me he was told by	
4	there were just some comments made about me to him by	
5	other individuals and that he looked forward to working	11:42
6	with me.	
7	So that was the only time that I can recall	
8	where we interacted where it was not in a sitting	
9	situation.	
10	Q. And was there anything did you consider	11:42
11	there to be anything inappropriate about that?	
12	A. The comments that were shared to him, I	
13	considered to be inappropriate, unprofessional. But you	
14	have to let that being a contractor in the position	
15	that you're in and being a monitor to that contract, you	11:42
16	have to have thick skin.	
17	Q. I'm sorry, I'm just confused when you said the	
18	comments that were shared to him. Did he say something	
19	that you felt was inappropriate?	
20	A. Yes, he did.	11:43
21	Q. What did he say that you thought was	
22	inappropriate when he came up to you and interacted with	
23	you at this conference?	
24	A. He told me that he was aware of who I was, my	
25	reputation within the Office of AIDS, and that he	11:43

1	welcomes hard-nosed people to the contract.	
2	Q. As best as you can remember, what exactly did	
3	Mr. Wafford say, for example, including whatever he	
4	would have said about your reputation? So if you were	
5	doing a little play or skit and recreating this, as best	11:43
6	as you remember, what words exactly came out of	
7	Tony Wafford's mouth? "Hi, I'm Tony Wafford," blah,	
8	blah, blah.	
9	A. "Hi, I am Tony Wafford. Nice to meet you. I	
10	understand you're on The Palms contract. I've been told	11:43
11	that you're hard-nosed and you have a very serious	
12	reputation within the Office of AIDS, and I welcome	
13	hard-nosed people to the contract."	
14	Q. And what about what about those comments did	
15	you think was inappropriate?	11:44
16	A. Based on initial meeting, I just thought, okay,	
17	why is he telling me this, big deal.	
18	I will only be I only come hard if I need to	
19	roll at you hard, meaning you give me what I want,	
20	you're not going to have a problem with me. You fight	11:44
21	me, you're going to have there is a concern. I need	
22	to do my job. Don't obstruct me from doing my job.	
23	I asked him who made those comments, and he was	
24	told that he had a conversation with Lila Hung, H-u-n-g,	
25	I believe.	11:45

Q. When Mr. Wafford made these comments to you, 1 was his tone friendly or aggressive or something else? 2 3 A. Aggressive and gruff. Q. What was his body language like that he made 4 these comments in a tone that you perceived to be 5 11:45 aggressive and gruff? 6 MR. GOLDBERG: Not likely to lead to the 7 discovery of admissible evidence, calls for speculation. 8 THE WITNESS: We were both in a standing 9 position because we had shooken (sic) hands. We were in 11:45 10 each other's personal space. He is a large man, but it 11 was more of his tone and the way that he said the 12 13 statement versus his physical demeanor. He is a big guy. When you see him, he is a big 14 guy, so you automatically assume that -- he is a big 11:46 15 guy. He can throw his weight around if he needs to. 16 Q. BY MR. COWAN: Was there something about his 17 physical demeanor that suggested an aura of physical 18 19 action or violence in conjunction with what he was 20 saying? 11:46 MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, argumentative. 21 THE WITNESS: No, just his words and his tone. 22 We did shake hands. From my experience, when a man goes 23 to shake a lady's hand, they are not firm. He was very 24 firm with my handshake, but yet I have a very firm 25 11:46

1	handshake as well.	
2	But I've never there is a business	
3	relationship between the County and the contractor. And	
4	I've been contracting a long time for the County or	
5	monitoring, and I just found it different, the demeanor	11:47
6	and mind-set that he had. It made me feel I was working	
7	for him versus the other way around, he was working for	
8	the County.	
9	Q. BY MR. COWAN: How long have you been	
10	contracting or supervising contracts for the County?	11:47
11	A. Since 2000.	
12	Q. At some point, you stopped covering or	
13	supervising The Palms contract; correct?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Is that something that you requested?	11:48
16	A. No, sir, I did not.	
17	Q. Do you know how that came to be?	
18	A. It was an instruction from my chain of command.	
19	Q. Do you have any understanding as to whether	
20	this was done to keep you from being involved in more	11:48
21	confrontations with the people at The Palms?	
22	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, argumentative, lacks	
23	foundation, outside the scope of the pleading.	
24	THE WITNESS: It was felt by my chain of	
25	command that it's best for my safety and mind-set that I	11:48

1	was no longer the program manager over that particular	
2	contract.	
3	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Who told you that?	
4	A. My supervisor and his division chief at that	
5	time.	11:49
6	Q. Your supervisor who told you that was who?	
7	A. David Pieribone.	
8	Q. And his supervisor was?	
9	A. Carlos Vega-Matos.	
10	Q. Two of them told you this?	11:49
11	A. Yes, at the same time.	
12	Q. Was anyone else present besides the three of	
13	you?	
14	A. Not that I can recall.	
15	Q. When did they tell you this?	11:49
16	A. The day that I found out about the incident	
17	between your client and Mr. Wafford, I was at the	
18	office. I immediately reported it to my chain of	
19	command.	
20	Q. You're talking about the occasion where my	11:50
21	client says Tony Wafford hit her?	
22	A. Correct.	
23	Q. So you learned about this on or about	
24	October 17, 2007?	
25	A. I just remember it was 2007. I will trust the	11:50
1		

1	telephone call to you for the purpose of apologizing to	
2	you, what happened at the meet-and-greet. Is that true?	
3	A. Correct.	
4	Q. And Mr. Pickett did apologize to you; correct?	
5	A. No, there was no actual apology given.	12:50
6	Q. Well, then, if there was no apology given,	
7	what's the basis for your testimony that he called you	
8	to apologize?	
9	A. That's what he told me that the intent of the	
10	telephone call was for.	12:50
11	Q. Okay. And how did you do you remember what	
12	he specifically what he told you the purpose of the	
13	phone call was?	
14	A. The purpose of the phone call was that they	
15	received the letter that my director had issued and that	12:50
16	he was calling for The Palms to apologize and to clarify	
17	any misconceptions that I may have.	
18	Q. Okay. And you referenced a statement that	
19	Mr. Wafford made to you over the telephone during the	
20	telephone conference, that referencing being escorted	12:51
21	from the meeting.	
22	Do you remember that testimony?	
23	A. Yes, I do.	
24	Q. What was the did Mr. Wafford specifically	
25	tell you that he would escort you from the meeting?	12:51

1	A. No, the statement was I would just be	
2	physically escorted from the meeting.	
3	Q. And the context of that was that he expressed	
4	his view isn't it true that he expressed his view	
5	that the participants in these meetings, these community	12:51
6	meetings, might be offended by you. Is that what he	
7	said?	
8	A. No, he did not say that.	
9	Q. Why did Mr. Wafford explain to you why he	
10	felt you might have to be escorted from these meetings?	12:51
11	A. No, he did not.	
12	Q. But the context of the conversation was that	
13	Mr. Wafford felt that because he thought you were white,	
14	that your effectiveness on the contract might be	
15	impaired?	12:52
16	A. He felt that I wouldn't have an understanding	
17	of the purpose of the contract.	
18	Q. Did he also express to you that he felt that	
19	people in the black people in the community might not	
20	receive you very well? Is that what he indicated to	12:52
21	you?	
22	A. That I would not be well received, that's	
23	correct.	
24	Q. As a result, you might have to be physically	
25	escorted from these meetings. Isn't that true?	12:52

1	A. That I would just have to be physically	
2	escorted from these meetings.	
3	Q. Because you wouldn't be well received by the	
4	black community in these meetings; correct? That was	
5	the context?	12:52
6	A. Right.	
7	Q. You talked about Mr. Wafford, at times,	
8	appeared to you to invade your personal space. Did I	
9	understand that correctly?	
10	A. Yes, you did.	12:53
11	Q. Was it inappropriate for Mr. Wafford to shake	
12	your hand?	
13	A. On the initial meeting, no, it was not.	
14	Q. Is it an invasion of personal space to shake	
15	somebody's hand?	12:53
16	A. Depending on the two individuals, it could be	
17	or it could not. But are you getting ready to say	
18	something?	
19	Q. No.	
20	A. But after the interactions I had with him, I	12:53
21	felt it best that I maintain the personal distance from	
22	him.	
23	Q. Did you make any report in writing to your	
24	supervisor about Mr. Wafford?	
25	A. Everything was done verbally.	12:53

1	business hours and through the course of her daily	
2	responsibilities at The Palms, that it was employee	
3	related.	
4	Q. Did she tell you anything that happened that	
5	that led her to decide to sue The Palms?	13:01
6	A. No, she did not. She just made reference that	
7	it happened during working hours.	
8	Q. What is did she explain what "it" was?	
9	A. The incident happened during working hours.	
10	Q. What was "the incident"?	13:01
11	A. Where Tony had beat on her.	
12	MR. GIVENS: I didn't hear that.	
13	(The record was read by the reporter as	
14	follows:	
15	"Answer: Where Tony had beat on her.")	13:02
16	MR. GIVENS: I wasn't clear on this. What did	
17	she say?	
18	MS. ROSS: "Tony had beat on her."	
19	MR. GIVENS: Okay.	
20	Q. BY MR. GOLDBERG: Did she use those exact	13:02
21	words?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. Did you ask how did he beat on her? Did you	
24	inquire at all about the incident?	
25	A. Yes. I was very concerned when I found out it	13:02
		1

1	happened. I could tell she was emotionally distraught.	
2	It happened during the day, during the course of	
3	business. He flew off the handle about something and	
4	was overheard in some manner, went to strike her, and	
5	she put her arm her arm up in defense. And that's	13:02
6	how her arm or this part of her body was hurt.	
7	Q. Which part of her body?	
8	A. (Indicating.)	
9	Q. Oh, her forearm?	
10	A. Yes.	13:03
11	That's what happened.	
12	Q. And that's what she told you a day or two after	
13	the incident?	
14	A. Correct.	
15	Q. Did she ever talk to you about exactly what had	13:03
16	happened strike that.	
17	Did shy ever describe to you the incident after	
18	this conversation? Was there a subsequent conversation?	
19	A. The only time when when she would contact	
20	me, when she referenced it, it was always "the	13:03
21	incident."	
22	Q. She never went into details again?	
23	A. No.	
24	Q. Did you ever talk to her about your feelings	
25	about Mr. Wafford's conduct?	13:03

1	A. In regards to?	
2	Q. The Palms.	
3	Let me be more clear. You testified about	
4	Mr. Wafford's general conduct or demeanor. Did you ever	
5	relate that to Ms. Byrd?	13:03
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. When did you relate that to her?	
8	A. During the conversations that we would have,	
9	she would make comments that Tony was a beast, an	
10	animal. And my response back to her was, my	13:04
11	interactions with him, I could see where she could make	
12	those assessments, and that I considered him to be very	
13	unprofessional and untactful and very aggressive.	
14	Q. What did Mr. Wafford specifically do to you	
15	that you felt was aggressive let me strike that.	13:04
16	When you say "aggressive"	
17	A. Uh-huh.	
18	Q. Let me strike that.	
19	Did you find Mr. Wafford to be intimidating by	,
20	his physical presence?	13:05
21	A. Yes, I did.	
22	Q. He is a big black man; correct?	
23	A. Not necessarily him being black, but he is a	
24	large man.	
25	Q. Did he have a low voice or a high voice?	13:05

1	Q. And I will get to that.	
2	But let me ask you first, was this incident	
3	did that have anything to do with the contract?	
4	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, calls for	
5	speculation, lacks foundation, vague and ambiguous.	13:43
6	I am sorry.	
7	THE WITNESS: That's okay.	
8	Q. BY MR. GIVENS: Let me rephrase it.	
9	Did the incident that Ms. Byrd was calling you	
10	about have anything to do with your duties concerning	13:43
11	the contract?	
12	A. No.	
13	Q. And when did Ms. Byrd call you and tell you	
14	about this incident?	
15	A. One to two days after it happened.	13:44
16	Q. Did she say why she was calling?	
17	A. I think why did she she called to tell me	
18	about what had happened, that she felt that I needed to	
19	know.	
20	Q. And why did she feel you needed to know?	13:44
21	A. I didn't ask and she didn't specify.	
22	Q. And what did she tell you? Can you	
23	A. She told me there was an incident at The Palms,	
24	that Tony had beat on her, what had happened.	
25	Q. Can you be specific as far as what she said?	13:44

1	MR. GOLDBERG: Asked and answered.	
2	Q. BY MR. GIVENS: Did she tell you that the law	
3	enforcement had been called?	
4	A. Yes, that was she told me about what	
5	happened during the incident and what happened after the	13:44
6	incident and her state of mind and those things.	
7	Q. Did she tell you that she went to the hospital?	
8	A. Yes, she did.	
9	Q. Did she tell you that what did she tell you	
10	about the law enforcement officers that came?	13:45
11	A. That they were called on site. She didn't	
12	specify what site. When they arrived, Kevin Pickett was	
13	there, and for whatever reason, he was able to get the	
14	officers to leave the scene.	
15	She, then, went to Marina Del Rey sheriff's	13:45
16	station, I believe, to file a complaint. And I believe	
17	she did that she said I went to file a complaint.	
18	And then she was taken she went to the hospital to	
19	get a to get medical treatment.	
20	Q. Did she tell you what treatment she received?	13:45
21	A. That they gave her a medication for pain,	
22	follow-up appointments, possible therapy, and that her	
23	arm was in some type of bandage sling ensemble.	
24	Q. And did that have anything to do with your	
25	did that information that she was giving you have	13:46

1	you not to answer because if you say yes, you've	
2	THE WITNESS: So I do not answer?	
3	MS. ROSS: Do not answer because it's	
4	attorney/client privilege.	
5	THE WITNESS: I will not answer.	14:05
6	Q. BY MR. GOLDBERG: How long was your	
7	conversation with Mr. Cowan?	
8	A. Fifteen minutes, maybe.	
9	Q. And is there anything in that is there	
10	anything in the conversation you had with Mr. Cowan that	14:05
11	you haven't testified to today?	
12	A. No.	
13	MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you.	
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
15		14:05
16	FURTHER EXAMINATION	
17	BY MR. COWAN:	
18	Q. Okay. A couple of points of clarification and	
19	I think we can get you out of here.	
20	If you were to go to if you would have gone	14:06
21	to one of The Palms' community events as part of your	
22	job and found that a number of people there attending	
23	didn't want you there and literally, physically, picked	
24	you up and carried, pushed, or pulled you out, would you	
25	consider that to be okay?	14:06

1	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, lacks foundation,	
2	incomplete hypothetical, argumentative, not likely to	
3	lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.	
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, that would not be acceptable	
5	to me.	14:06
6	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Okay. And would you consider	
7	that your if you were at an event, and a bunch of	
8	people you didn't know, basically, said you're not	
9	allowed to be here, we don't want you here, and they	
10	picked you up and pushed you out, carried you out,	14:07
11	physically forced you to be removed from the premises,	
12	would you consider your safety to be at risk?	
13	A. Absolutely.	
14	MR. GOLDBERG: Improper hypothetical, not	
15	likely to lead to discovery of admissible evidence,	14:07
16	calls for speculation.	
17	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.	
18	Q. BY MR. COWAN: So on this conference call you	
19	previously talked about where you were speaking with	
20	Mr. Pickett and Mr. Wafford, and Mr. Wafford made the	14:07
21	comment about people removing you from the premises if	
22	you tried to attend one, because this this would be	
23	African-American events and you were not	
24	African-American, did you consider that to be some sort	
25	of threat regarding your personal safety, if you were to	14:07

1	attend?	
2	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, argumentative,	
3	mischaracterizes the testimony, not likely to lead to	
4	the discovery of admissible evidence.	
5	MS. ROSS: Call for speculation.	14:07
6	MR. GOLDBERG: Join.	
7	THE WITNESS: Just to I don't know what this	
8	is for, but I need to let you everyone at the table	
9	be aware of this.	
10	That one meeting that I spoke to regarding	14:08
11	Mr. Wafford's attorney, there were various ethnicities	
12	at that meeting. So I didn't when I saw the	
13	participants of that meeting in regards to Tony's	
14	statement, I thought how did he come to that conclusion?	
15	It's yes, the contract is for	14:08
16	African-Americans, but within that contents, within the	
17	African-American community, you have Cubans who are	
18	Hispanic, you have all these other denominations or	
19	groups, you know, people that, on the contents, may look	
20	African-American, but behind their appearance, they are	14:08
21	not African-American descent.	
22	Q. BY MR. COWAN: In other words, their	
23	ethnicities is more complex	
24	A. Yeah.	
25	Q because who they are is more than just the	14:08

1	pigmentation of their skin, it's also the countries and	
2	cultures that their ancestors are from?	
3	A. Correct.	
4	MR. GOLDBERG: Argumentative and move to strike	
5	as nonresponsive, the last answer.	14:09
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
7	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Let's turn for a second to the	
8	conversation you had with my client on the telephone	
9	where she told you that Mr. Wafford had hit her.	
10	As I understood you to be talking, you said	14:09
11	that you had an understanding that Tony Wafford had hit	
12	Sharon Byrd somewhere on either her hand or forearm or	
13	something like that.	
14	MR. GIVENS: Objection, leading, misrepresents	
15	the testimony.	14:09
16	MR. COWAN: I haven't finished my question yet.	
17	MR. GOLDBERG: I think you should let him	
18	finish.	
19	MR. COWAN: Let me finish my question, please,	
20	gentlemen.	14:09
21	MR. GOLDBERG: I was asking counsel to let you	
22	finish your question.	
23	MR. COWAN: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Goldberg.	
24	Q. You testified earlier in let me try this	
25	again.	14:10

1	You testified earlier, in response to	
2	questioning by Mr. Goldberg about how Sharon Byrd, my	
3	client, told you that Tony Wafford had hit her hand or	
4	arm.	
5	Is that accurate?	14:10
6	MR. GIVENS: Objection, misstates her	
7	testimony, leading.	
8	MR. GOLDBERG: Are you done?	
9	MR. COWAN: I am done. I am giving her an	
10	opportunity to say "yes" or "no" without suggesting what	14:10
11	the answer should be.	
12	MR. GOLDBERG: I wanted to let you finish your	
13	question.	
14	I do want to object as totally mischaracterizes	
15	testimony of record and argumentative.	14:10
16	THE WITNESS: What Sharon had told me is that	
17	he hit her her arm. And the way that she told me her	
18	arm was bandaged, it made me feel it was more in the	
19	forearm, because she told me she felt that he was going	
20	to strike her face and she went to block the strike from	14:10
21	her face. And that's how her forearm got injured.	
22	Q. BY MR. COWAN: If you have a clear memory, did	
23	she specify whether her hands and arms were up in a	
24	palm-outward position or in a diagonal position with	
25	hands in partially closed grips or some other way?	14:11

	The state of the s	
1	In other words, did she specify, as you	
2	remember it, exactly how her hands and arms were when	
3	she was hit?	
4	MR. GOLDBERG: Lacks foundation, argumentative,	
5	leading.	14:11
6	THE WITNESS: What I can recall is she raised	
7	her arm to block a blow.	
8	Q. BY MR. COWAN: The reason I ask you that	
9	question is the way you're raising your hand indicates a	
10	very particular way that the hand would have been	14:11
11	raised. But in fact, there are many ways one could	
12	raise one hand to break a blow.	
13	You could do it with your palms out, hands up,	
14	in sort of a stop gesture. You could do it in a	
15	diagonal position with the fist closed, which is	14:12
16	something that's used, for example, in martial arts.	
17	You could have it with both hands up in sort of a	
18	Muhammad Ali rope-a-dope peek-a-boo kind of position.	
19	There are a lot of different ways that could	
20	happen. And because you learned this information from	14:12
21	her on the telephone, I am asking a more pointed	
22	question. Did she specifically tell you how her hands	
23	were raised when she was hit?	
24	MR. GOLDBERG: Argumentative, leading, and	
25	asked and answered.	14:12

1	THE WITNESS: No, she did not specify. And	
2	again, she was very emotional on the phone, so I could	
3	tell that she was emotional. I understood what was	
4	going on.	
5	MR. GOLDBERG: Move to strike as nonresponsive	14:12
6	with respect to the tenor of her voice.	
7	Q. BY MR. COWAN: When she told you about being	
8	hit, was she emotional?	
9	A. She was crying. She was very emotional.	
10	Q. You told Mr. Goldberg that Mr. Wafford,	14:13
11	himself, never threatened to harm you; correct?	(1
12	A. Correct.	
13	Q. Did you consider Mr. Wafford's comments during	
14	the speakerphone conversation about how, if you went to	
15	the to a meeting, you would be physically removed by	14:13
16	people attending it, to be a threat of harm, if not by	
17	Mr. Wafford, then by other people?	
18	MR. GOLDBERG: Mischaracterizes her testimony.	
19	She said that he told her she would be escorted from the	
20	premises. Mischaracterizes her testimony,	14:14
21	argumentative, outside the scope of the pleadings.	
22	MS. ROSS: I was going to object as to	
23	misstates prior testify.	
24	MR. GOLDBERG: I should say also lacks	
25	foundation.	14:14

1	THE WITNESS: The comment was made I would be	
2	physically escorted or removed from the meeting. I, in	
3	the way Nicole processed that, that I felt it was a	
4	threat against my person, not by Tony directly, but by	
5	the participants in the meeting.	14:14
6	I even felt that by him making that statement,	
7	that there would be no interjection by any of The Palms	
8	staff under the contract. I said is this a threat, am I	
9	being threatened, because I need to know if I am being	
10	threatened. And they just kind of chuckled.	14:15
11	Q. BY MR. COWAN: When he told you that you would	
12	be physically ejected	
13	MR. GOLDBERG: Ha, ha.	
14	Q. BY MR. COWAN: That's the term you used; right?	
15	A. I think I said "escorted or removed."	14:15
16	Q. "Escorted or removed," okay. Did you have an	
17	understanding about what that meant?	
18	A. My understanding of that was very crystal to	
19	me. Being physically escorted, removed, whatever,	
20	however we want to package the terminology, meant that I	14:15
21	would have people escorting me out, either by me	
22	voluntarily going out or people would have their hands	
23	on me physically, dragging me out of the facility.	
24	I saw those two scenarios played through my	
25	head.	14:16

1	Q. Is it fair to say and tell me if it's not	
2	that it was very clear to you that if you showed up at	
3	one of these events, according to Mr. Wafford, and	
4	insisted on doing your job, that people would physically	
5	pick you up and throw you out?	14:16
6	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection, mischaracterizes	
7	testimony, calls for speculation, lacks foundation,	
8	outside the scope of the pleadings.	
9	THE WITNESS: That they would put their hands	
10	on me and take me out, yes, in that context.	14:16
11	Q. BY MR. COWAN: If you refused to voluntarily	
12	leave, then these people would	
13	A. Physically put their hands on me. Whether that	
14	meant grabbing my arms and pulling me out I'm a big	
15	girl, I am not a little girl that could mean picking	14:16
16	me up and taking me out.	
17	I've seen I live in Los Angeles county.	
18	I've seen people removed from meetings before	
19	involuntarily. It is not a pretty situation. Not that	
20	I would let that happen, but that's the frame of mind	14:17
21	that I had.	
22	Q. Did you tell your supervisors about	
23	Sharon Byrd's claim that Tony Wafford had hit her	
24	because it caused you to be concerned for your safety?	
25	A. The minute I got off the phone with her, I did.	14:17

Exhibit 4

SHOTS	Page Loi 5. INCH	Los Angeles Police Department	COMBINED EVEN REPORT
•	PRELIMINARY CASE SCREENING  SUSPECT / VEHICLE NOT SEEN  PRINTS OR DTHER EVIDENCE NOT PRESENT  MO HOT DISTINCT  PROPERTY LOSS LESS THAN \$5000  NO SERIOUS INJURY TO VICTIM  ONLY ONE VICTIM INVOLVED	PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION OF BATTERY MISP	SEX DESC. ARE DOB
USE OF	PREMISES SPECIFIC TYPE  ('N') V. A VOI TOR VIVI  ENTRY 459/8FV POINT OF ENTRY POINT OF EXIT  FRONT REAR SIDE ROOF FLOOR OTHER  INSTRUMENT / TOOL	LOCATION OF OCCURAENCE SAME AS V'S PERS.  3551 TROUSDALE PROVINCE  OATE & TIME OF OCCURAENCE  12-04-04 140  TYPE PROPERTY STOLEN / LOST / DAMAGED  03.4 GIN	DATE & TIME REPORTED TO PD
NARCOTICS STOLEN -	GRABBED VICT GOT INTO ARG	LISPECT'S ACTIONS IN BRIEF PHRASES, INCLUDING WEAPON USED, DO INFLABLE, ITEMIZE AND DESCRIBE ALL ITEMS MISSING IN THIS INCIDEN	INOT REPEAT ABOVE INFO, BUT CLARIFY REPORT AS T IN THE MARRATIVE.  USC CAMPUS, SUSP  LEAVE, SUSP + VI OT  ATED BY DOMESTIC
pspsen	REPORTING MONTGOMERY SERIAL NO.  REPORTING MONTGOMERY DOSTAL  EMPLOYEE(S) SIMPSON 88532  Complete below sections if any Preliminary Case Screening  SUSP'S YEAR MAKE MODEL TYPE	DAUSTAL PERSON SISTEME SWISC REPORTING SISTEME REPORTING SISTEME REPORTING SISTEME SIS	DY PREJUDICE VIOLENCE OR DICEIVED BY PHONE -
• Ag 1	SEX DESC. HAIR EYES HEIGHT WEIGHT AGE  S-1 PERSONAL ODDITIES (UMUSUAL FEATURES, SCARS, TATTOOS, ETC.)	1 BLOKET SEATS 2 LEVEL ALTERED 3 STM 2 DAMAGED INSIDE 5 CUSTOM PAINT 4 LEF CLOTHING NAME, ADDRESS, DOB, IF KNOWN: N	DIFIED 8 FRONT 2 CUST, TINT 8 FRONT CKER 7 REAR 3 CUSTAINS 7 REAR 4 LEFT  IAME, BKG, NO., CHARGE, IF ARRESTED  IN (VERBAL TRIMERTS, DODIET FURILE, SIMOCATED NUM.)
FIREARMS STO	INVOLVED PERSONS W-WITNESS R-PERSON (CP-CONTACT PERSON (C)	DY RPTG S - PERSON SECURING (459) D - PERSON DISCOVERING OMESTIC VIOLENCE) TO - TRUE OWNER RESC: 1000 - 100 DEESS	G (458) P-PARENT
Jech Ord	$M_{\perp}$	OUAGESPOKEN	- LX 11 1X 88 9
USC SUN M.	OF DRUG	EVID. BXD. 10.10 GIVEN? Preliminary Drug Test  BAND / DRUG WEIGHT MODEL NO / DRUG TEST   MISC.	ING SER, NO. WITHESS OFCR. SER, NO.
S0 ●	OESCRIBE EVIDENCE, INCLUDE PRINTS, STATE LE NO PHONE CAN BE LOCATED. 5) INDICATE TYPE  YICTIM INDEBNISCATION INFORMATION (IF APPLICABLE)  SUPERVISOR APPROVING	OWNER APPL	HER DETAILS, INCL. WHEN I WHERE PERSONS WITH IS MISSING. IE VICTIM'S PROPERTY MARKED WITH AN LIED IDENTIFICATION NUMBER? LAIN IN NARRATIVE. YES   HO
70-03. (4 <i>1</i> 00)		2354. DETECTIVE SUPERVISOR REVIEWING	309 Acategory

	2 Valley_			<b>.</b>			USC D2#	
A OF		TYPE OF REPORT BAT	TERY (MI	(az		BOOKING NO.	Logan	
ITEM NO.	OUAH	ARTICLE	SERIAL HO.	BRAND	MODEL NO.	MISC. DESCRIPT	ION (E.G. COLOR, SIZE,	DOLLAR VALUE
		INVESTIGATIO	N (CONTINUED				The second cross	<del>                                     </del>
							· ·	
		OFCR SIME	SON THEN	INTERVIE	WED W#	FI WHO	STATED, "	SAW
		THE WHOL	THING."			1 001.10	- surred	31110
		WITNESS#	16	ATED HE WE	STALKIN	6 WYITH	V	
		AT THE TIM	HE OF INCID		ST	ATEC S.	-1 (WAFFORD	$\mathcal{D}$
		CAME OVE	R AND WAS	YERY PO	LITE . S-	MAFFO		ICEO
		HIMSELF A	NO SAID HE	WAS THE S	PONSOK A	NO IN C	Contract of the Contract of th	HE
		ENENT. WH	-1 STATED S-	1(WAFFORD)	EXMAINE	) TO 1)	, HF	
		15 NOT AU	HORIZED TO	ASS OUT	HE MATE	RIAL HE	HAD.	<u> </u>
		WHI (	STATED VI		SECAME	IPSET.	AND AS	K
		S-1 LWAFFOR	OT FOR A REI	CIPT OR S	DOMETHIN	G SAYIR		-I/WAFFORD)
		IS REALLY T	HE DINE IN	CHARGE.				1
	_	W#1 ()		INVERSATION	I WAS C	VER	WHEN !	\$-1
	_	(WAFFORD) B	EGAN TO MI	WE MATER	JAL OFF	THETE	ABLE AND	PUTIT
		ON THE GRO	1NO M#10	STATE	V/	BEC	AME UPS	ET
		AND GRABBE	1) SI (WAFFOR	HO'S) WRIST A	REA AND	THEY B	FRAN TO ST	RUGGLE.
		FALLING IN	o THE DOOR	AND OVER	A CHAIR	15-1	(W.AGFORD	7
		HELD ON TO	V	UNTIL THE	SECURI	TY OFFI	CER(S) TOU	HIM
		70 LET 60.						
	_			ELVIE WED	W#2()	)(	USC PUBLIC	SAFETY
-		/	SERVICE DFC		16 THE A	event,	STATED SH	E HEARD
		Someone sa				MONITY.	" W# 2 (STI	HELE)
		STATED SHE		AND OBSERVED	1	ffnen). W	As Holding	VICT
		IN	A POSITION	from THE	BACK!		:v,, - ;	
	_		S ARMS WERE	The second secon		ARMY	ITS AND H	ANDS
	_	rocked on	V/	SHOULDERS			) STA	FIED
	-	SHE DISTERY	ED ST WATE	AN HITEM	rting 7	o Remo	VE VICT B	ACKWARD!
		WITH HOW	STILL IN YUA	ce, AND I	HEY 3-1	WATTOFF	AND VI	
-		DOTH HELL	IN A TABLE	W#2(	ST	AFD, SA	t ASKED,	•
		S-1 WALTORY	10 KELEASE	\/\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	HAND	5-1 (WA	effold) Con	PUED.
			impson ARRI	VED APPRO	MATELY	1 4)140	IE AFTER	AND
1-1		INTERNEMA	D SI WAFFE	(LD , V)	AN	) W#1	(	
	-							<u>\</u>
	-							
-	4							
F MOR	E SPAC	E IS REQUIRED, USE CONT	INUATION SHEET, 15.09.0		<u> </u>			

IF MORE SPACE IS REQUIRED. USE CONTINUATION SHEET, 15 09.0

support log out

### CALL FOR SERVICE

TRACE

Created By

E9325

Created On

08/11/2009@18.51.53

Requested By

M. NOVAK, LAD-DISC.

INCIDENT DETAIL

Number

4345000740

Date Time

12/10/2004@06:01

Location

3551 TROUSDALE PY

RD

358

Operator

34603

Operator Loc ID

Position

Address

93

Type

242I - BATTERY INVEST

Code/Priority

Source

TP

213/

Reporter Name

Phone Business

3551 TROUSDALE PKWY

COMMENTS

\DSPO RPT 0601 034603 PACC03 93\

\EDB REQUESTS 1001 P 0 V 0\

UNITS

UNIT DSPTCH

06:01

ACK 00:00 ENR

00:00

ATS 00:00 CMP

06:01

INT

00:00

TOTAL INT

00:00

UNIT STATUS OPCL

DSPO TYPE 242I

DSPO CODE

RPT

 $http://ecccs.lapd.lacity.org/cfmx7/ecccs/displayDetails.cfm?i=4345000740\&0.3215130538... \ 8/11/200911/2009111/2009111/2009111/2009111/20091100000$ 

## LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT

WILLIAM J. BRATTON Chief of Police



### ANTONIO R. VILLARAIGOSA Mayor

P.O. Box 30158 Los Angeles, CA 90030 Telephone: (213) 978-6585 TDD: (877) 275-5273 Ref #: 9.2

# DECLARATION ACCOMPANYING RECORDS IN RESPONSE TO A FORMAL REQUEST

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

### COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I John Hai	rowicz	, declare I am employed by the			
Los Angeles	s Police Department and assigned	to Communications Division. I am			
the duly aut	horized representative of the Los certify Communications Division	Angeles Police Department and have			
On 8-11-	09	, a formal request was made to the			
Los Angeles	Police Department for communications	cations records.			
The request 911 CA	called for the production of:				
Date:	12-10-04				
Time:	0601				
Location:	Location: 3551 TROUSDALE PKWY				

I have exercised due diligence in searching the records, files, and database that Communications Division has access to and/or possession of and, except as may be noted below, the accompanying copies are true copies of all records described in the request. Said reports were prepared by personnel of the Los Angeles Police Department in the ordinary course of business at or near the time of the acts, conditions, or events recorded therein.

### DECLARATION ACCOMPANYING RECORDS IN RESPONSE TO A FORMAL REQUEST Page 2

Of tuna	the records described in said formal request, the Los Angeles Police Department is ble to comply with the request because:
	There is no record of any kind based on the information provided in the formal request for
	The tape records are unavailable due to destruction in accordance with the Los Angeles Administrative Code Section 12.3 (b) (7), computer print-outs are available only.
	No radio recordings were located, computer information only.
X	Communications Division records <u>ONLY</u> incoming and outgoing calls for service received by Communications Division's Dispatch Center.
	Specific information is needed: <u>Date, Exact Time, Location of Occurrence,</u> Type of Crime or Charge, and Unit Number of the Los Angeles Department  Officers involved.
	Due to the heavy workload for requests received pursuant to court Order, we are unable to comply with the due date of your request. The request will be completed as soon as possible.
X	INFORMATION FOR INCIDENT #4345000740 WAS RECEIVED AT SOUTHWEST DIVISION POLICE STATION.
	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
	Executed on 8-12-09 Los Angeles, California (Signature of Declarant)
	(Signature of Declarant)

AN EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY – AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER www.lapdonline.org

## LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT

WILLIAM J. BRATTON Chief of Police



P. O. Box 30158 Los Angeles, CA 90030 Telephone: (213) 978-6585 TDD: (877) 275-5273 Ref #: 9.2

## **AFFIDAVIT**

I state that I,	John Harowicz	Serial No	C8882	, am a duly authori	
The state of the s	Taped Records of Con	amunications Div	vision and h	ave authority to certify	zea the
The accompa	nying attachments are	true copies of all	records des	cribed in your request.	
The records v	were prepared by person iness at or near the tim	nnel of the City o	f Los Angel ents.	es in the ordinary scop	oe and
I attes	t the above to be true u	nder penalty of p	erjury.	r. <sup>tt</sup>	
Date:	Signature	8-12.	terra	~	
	Signature	(2) 8256			

WILLIAM J. BRATTON

Chief of Police

SCOTT, Captain

Commanding Officer

Communications Division

Enclosures

### LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT

WILLIAM J. BRATTON Chief of Police



P. O. Box 30158 Los Angeles, California 90030 Telephone: (213) 978-2100 TDD: (877) 275-5273 Reference Number: 14.4

## ANTONIO R. VILLARAIGOSA Mayor

### DECLARATION OF CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Title of Action: SHARON SONG BYRD vs. TONY WAFFORD, ET AL.

Case Number: BC403677

Name of Party Obtaining Subpoena: Jeffrey W. Cowan, Esquire

Date of Compliance: August 24, 2009

Location of Compliance: 1541 Ocean Avenue, Suite 200

Santa Monica, CA 90401

### I, MICHAEL NOVAK, declare:

- I am employed as a Management Analyst by the Los Angeles Police Department and assigned to the Legal Affairs Division. I am a duly authorized representative of the Custodian of Records and have authority to certify said records.
- 2. On August 10, 2009, a Subpoena Duces Tecum was served on Los Angeles Police Department, which was issued by the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, and called for the production of: "1. All writings (defined herein as items falling within the scope of Evidence Code § 250) that refer or relate to an investigation or response that you conducted on or about December 4, 2004 regarding a complaint (whether informal or formal) that a male (identity unknown) had been hit, battered or assaulted by tony Wafford at an event held in or about the Bovard Auditorium at the University of Southern California. This request includes but is not limited to all writings discussing, referring or relating to (a) a telephone call to either 911 or the Los Angeles Police about such an incident, (b) the summoning or arrival of an ambulance to treat the victim of the above described battery, (c) reports regarding this incident." (sic)
- 3. The documents transmitted are true copies of the original records described in the subpoena duces tecum. Portions of this report have been withheld pursuant to Article 1, Section 1 of the California State Constitution (a person's right to privacy).

AN EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY - AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

www.LAPDOnline.org

www.joinLAPD.com

Declaration of MICHAEL NOVAK Custodian of Records Los Angeles Police Department Page 2 14.4

4. The records referred to above were prepared by personnel of the Los Angeles Police Department in the ordinary course of business at or near the time of the acts, condition, or event described therein.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 18, 2009, at Los Angeles, California.

Signature of Declarant

Exhibit 5

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	CERTIFIED COPY
4	SHARON SONG BYRD, AN ) INDIVIDUAL, )
5	Plaintiff, )
6	vs. ) No. BC403677
7	)
8	TONY WAFFORD, AN INDIVIDUAL, ) THE PALMS RESIDENTIAL CARE )
9	FACILITY, A CALIFORNIA ) CORPORATION AND DOES 1 )
10	THROUGH 100, )  Defendants. )
11	Derendants. /
12	
13	
14	
15	DEPOSITION OF MATTHEW SCHWABE
16	TUESDAY, OCTOBER 20, 2009
17	SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA
18	
19	
20	
21	ATKINSON-BAKER, INC. COURT REPORTERS
22	(800) 288-3376 www.depo.com
23	REPORTED BY: JEANINE CURCIONE
24	CSR NO. 10223, RPR
25	FILE NO.: A3098E9

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. When you were working with Deputy Stilson in
3	October of 2007, were you the supervising deputy
4	sheriff?
5	A. I was his training officer at the time,
6	supervising his investigation and performance.
7	Q. Is that because you were more experienced
8	than he?
9	A. Yes. I was an assigned training officer to
10	him.
11	Q. So you were his teacher?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. Was he a good student?
14	A. Very good. Very thorough.
15	Q. So although he was still at a stage in his
16	career where he was receiving the benefit of your
17	training, did you have what opinion what other
18	opinions did you have of his performance as a peace
19	officer in 2007?
20	A. He's very professional in his duties. Very
21	mature. Shows a lot of experience in his
22	investigations, and he needed minimal direction with
23	respect to anything he handled.
24	Q. A moment ago you used the word mature?
25	A. Mature.

Q. So if you were giving him a grade based on his performance in October of 2007 what would it be? MR. GOLDBERG: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: Can I answer?

- O. BY MR. COWAN: Yeah.
- A. It's hard for me to give him a grade based on the fact that he was in training and wasn't -hadn't had a lot of experience, but he did his job very
- Q. For someone with the amount of experience that he had would you say he was among -- he was very good, among the best you'd ever seen? You're not comparing him to a 20 year peace officer. You're comparing him to someone for the amount of experience

MR. GOLDBERG: Objection. Lacks foundation. Overbroad. Calls for speculation. Assumes facts not

THE WITNESS: I would have to say that Deputy Stilson was further advanced than most deputies at his stage that I had worked with that were in training.

- Q. BY MR. COWAN: Now, you were served with a subpoena to appear today; correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.

23

24

Q. What are they?

10	A. It's a record for our log activity for that
2	day on that particular shift.
3	Q. And who's Tim Hazlewood?
4	A. He is a detective assigned to our station at
5	Marina Del Rey.
6	Q. You understand that he does or was acting in
7	June of 2009 as the custodian of records for the
8	Sheriff?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And in looking at these documents that were
11	produced with the affidavit, do you understand them to
12	be printouts of records generated or contained within
13	the Sheriff's computer system?
14	A. Yes, that's correct.
15	Q. And these are entries that would have been
16	made at the time that events happened by a sheriff?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Do you know who made these particular
19	entries?
20	A. Well, it would be several people. The first
21	of which being it would be a dispatcher that receives
22	the call that types it in and creates the incident.
23	And then there are assisting units that are assigned to
24	it that create a record as well as time records. At

what time we went in route to the call, time we

arrived, as well as the time we left the call in 1 addition to a clearance, clearing the call from our 2 3 computer. Would -- you're looking at the first page? 0. 4 Yes. A . 5 Q. And at some point would either you or Deputy 6 7 Stilson actually have entered comments into the computer, though? 8 A. Yes. 9 So you'd have -- is it accurate to say --10 tell me if it's not -- that there would be entries made 11 by an operator who took a 911 call and then later there 12 would be entries by a deputy who responded to a call? 13 A. That's correct. 14 O. Turning to -- let me back up. We chatted a 15 little bit beforehand. Do you have any recollection of 16 going out in response to a 911 call that was made by my 17 client Sharon Byrd? 18 A. At this time, no, I do not. 19 20

Q. So any memory you might have is directly dependent on whatever the notes reflect; is that right?

21

22

23

24

25

A. At this time, other than a picture I've seen of the location that I'm familiar with that general area, but I have no recollection of this particular incident.

advised Wafford, Anthony, male black, birth date of

6-27-56 regarding a 415," which is a disturbance --

Q. BY MR. COWAN: 415 is referring to a provision in the Penal Code?

A. Yes.

- Q. And that would be disturbing the peace?
- A. Yes. "With employee," and then "will co." which means will cooperate and that they understood.

  The 2767 is just the reporting district of the incident and where it occurred.
- Q. Does the shorthand for "will cooperate" refer -- let me rephrase that. Do you know for a certainty whether the will co reference is referring to Ms. Byrd agreeing to cooperate or Mr. Wafford agreeing to cooperate or both?
  - A. I don't recall in this incident.
- Q. There's no way for you to tell based on standard practices the way these reports are written?
- A. Standard practice would indicate that if it says will co, that the parties involved understood what was going on and understand whatever it was that we explained to them.
- Q. If only one had agreed to cooperate, would the report have been written differently based on the standard practices?
  - MR. GOLDBERG: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: It depends. I can't say for this 1 incident, but sometimes in certain applications. 2 Q. BY MR. COWAN: And turning to the second 3 page, about the a third of the way up from the bottom 4 there's another reference to an incident involving 5 Sharon Byrd. Do you see that? 6 7 A. Yes. O. This one -- let's -- let's go through it. 8 The first line specifies the location. 9 A. Correct. 10 O. Then below that it says 212 disorderly 11 conduct. What does that mean? 12 A. 212 is a statistical code we use for 13 incidence disturbance. Disorderly conduct, it's 14 disorderly conduct. Usually it's some sort of a 15 disturbance between parties. 16 Q. Then it says disturbing the peace/court 17 disturbances. What does that mean? 18 A. That relates to the 212 code that we put in. 19 Everything following the 212 is computer generated, 20 referring to the 212 section disturbing the peace, 21 court disturbance, it could be either one. It's kind 22 of nonspecific. 23 Q. And then is the rest the same as -- the same 24

essentially shorthand as what was on the other sheet?

1	A. That's correct. It's just a replication of
2	each. Same as the first.
3	Q. Let's mark as Exhibit 26 a photograph.
4	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 26 was marked for
5	identification by the Reporter.)
6	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Do you recognize this
7	photograph to be the address at 5003 South La Brea
8	Avenue?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And this would have been where you went out
11	on October 11, 2007 in response to the call that's
12	identified in the police records we just talked about?
13	A. Yes.
14	MR. GOLDBERG: Objection. Lacks foundation.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	Q. BY MR. COWAN: This address you
17	understand this to be part of a mini-mall?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And you've been there before?
20	A. Several times, yes.
21	Q. Did you go into the Palms on October 11,
22	2007?
23	A. I don't recall.
24	Q. Have you ever been inside the premises?
25	A. Not to my recollection, no.

MR. GOLDBERG: Calls for speculation. Lacks foundation.

THE WITNESS: It depends on the specific situation that we're handling at the time, but a lot of the people that call us out and that are non-desirous have told us in the past that they feel that it will make the situation worse as well as a lot of the time when we present folks with a private person's arrest, we also advise the other parties and a lot of the times they both want each other arrested and that's a deterrent also. They don't want to prosecute because they don't want to get arrested themselves.

- Q. BY MR. COWAN: So in other words, it's a mutual deterrent. They're afraid that if they sign paper to have the other person arrested, that person will turn around and sign the same kind of document and cause them to be arrested.
  - A. Yes, that happens.
- Q. How many times have you gone out on calls pertaining to complaints of violence that involve people in the same workplace?

MR. GOLDBERG: Objection. Overbroad. Lack of foundation.

THE WITNESS: I honestly couldn't put a number on it but it's a common occurrence, very common.

Q. BY MR. COWAN: Would it be fair to say that it's at least in the dozens? MR. GOLDBERG: Objection. Calls for speculation. Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: Easily. Yes. Q. BY MR. COWAN: And does the same -- is it your understanding that the same dynamic holds true there, that people who have complained of workplace violence are afraid that pressing charges will only escalate the problem and make it worse? MR. GOLDBERG: Overbroad. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: We've run into that, yes. 

Q. BY MR. COWAN: Based on all the people you've talked with and interacted with as a result of going out on the what you estimate are at least dozens of calls involving workplace violence, is there a common thread or perception that you've observed from the persons who have made the complaint and then choose not to prosecute once you and your colleagues arrive?

MR. GOLDBERG: Overbroad. Lacks foundation.

THE WITNESS: Well, it's just a situation where

a crime isn't apparent to us and it's a
he-said-she-said type of a situation where they're
presented with their options and -- for private persons

arrest, and a lot of the time when presented with it, they change their mind for fear of being arrested themselves.

- Q. BY MR. COWAN: Any other common reasons you've observed that people who have made a call to the police about allegedly being the victim of violence in the workplace changed their minds and don't want to press charges once you and the other sheriff show up?
  - A. Not that I recall, no.
- Q. So hang on a second. At one point I thought you said that one reason people don't press charges is because they're concerned that it will make the situation worse.
  - A. Correct.

Q. When you said that were you referring only to the fact that it might cause somebody else to want to have them arrested, or were you referring to something else such as the fact it might create more tensions or political problems in the workplace?

MR. GOLDBERG: Overbroad. Lacks foundation.

THE WITNESS: Creating more problems not only for the incident we're out there investigating, but in addition the fear of getting arrested themselves, if they themselves, the complaining party, presses charges and signs a private person's arrest having that person

arrested. The fear of they themselves being arrested on a private person's arrest.

Q. BY MR. COWAN: When you report to the scene, if the person who is the alleged perpetrator of criminal violence says something to the effect of, "I didn't hit her. She hit me. I was acting in -- yeah -- yes, I used some physical force to touch her or restraining her because she was hitting me. I was acting in self defense," as opposed to saying, "It never happened. This person is crazy. I haven't touched her all day," will the person reflect that the person who was the subject of the call to 911 is now accusing the other person of violence?

MR. GOLDBERG: Objection. Incomplete hypothetical. Overbroad.

THE WITNESS: If a report is necessary it will reflect that.

Q. BY MR. COWAN: So to be clear, if you go out on a battery call and when you get there the victim says, yes, something to the effect of, "Yes, this person hit me," and the person who is claimed to have committed a battery says, "No. The alleged victim hit me. Whatever I did was in self-defense. I'm the one who got hit. Look at this bruise" or "look at this cut," whatever the person says so you've got competing

claims of criminal touching, is that going to be 1 reflected in the general logs? 2 3 MR. GOLDBERG: Objection. Incomplete hypothetical. Calls for speculation. 4 THE WITNESS: In the event we run across an 5 6 incident like that where the two parties are claiming 7 misdemeanor battery, however, they're nondesirous, it will usually reflect in the log that it was a mutual 8 combat and both parties were non-desirous. 9 Q. BY MR. COWAN: There is nothing in the log 10 with respect to this incident to suggest that 11 Mr. Wafford was claiming that Sharon Byrd hit him or 12 13 acted unlawfully in any way; correct? A. Correct. 14 15 Q. And and if there had been such report it 16 probably would be in this log; right? MR. GOLDBERG: Objection. Argumentative. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 Q. BY MR. COWAN: Let me rephrase that. If 19 there had been such an allegation by Mr. Wafford, would 20 21 it most likely be reflected in this log? A. Typically it would. Yes. 22 Q. By the way, if I -- I may have referred to 23 my client a moment ago as Sharon Byrd. If I had 24

referred to her by her full name which her middle name

1	is Song, so her full name is Sharon Song Byrd, does
2	that refresh your memory about this incident in any
3	way?
4	A. No.
5	MR. COWAN: Off the record for just one second.
6	(Recess taken.)
7	MR. COWAN: I don't have any further questions.
8	MR. GOLDBERG: I just have a few questions for
9	you, deputy.
10	[EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. GOLDBERG:
12	Q. First thing I'd like to do is mark as
13	Exhibit 27 the deposition notice in this case and it
14	has a subpoena attached directed to you. I'd just like
15	to show that to you.
16	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 27 was marked for
17	identification by the Reporter.)
18	THE WITNESS: Okay.
19	Q. BY MR. GOLDBERG: Is that the subpoena that
20	brought you here today?
21	A. It appears to be the same copy of the
22	same subpoena, yes.
23	Q. And it directed you to look for documents;
24	correct?
25	A. Correct.

1 through your personal notebooks.
2 A. Correct.
3

- Q. And you also checked with one of your colleagues for whatever was sort of on file with respect to this particular day.
  - A. That's correct.
  - MR. GOLDBERG: Mischaracterizes testimony.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

- Q. BY MR. COWAN: But beyond that you didn't conduct an independent search of every piece of paper that might be filed in the Sheriff's office; right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, the other thing is with respect to the issue of the contents of these notes that are marked as Exhibit 25 and the fact that there's no reference in here to Mr. Wafford complaining that Ms. Byrd hit him, my colleague Mr. Goldberg asked you a second ago about the fact that whether it was a certainty that no such complaint was made. Let me ask you a little bit different question. Would you say based on the absence of any complaints of any comments in these notes about Mr. Wafford complaining that Sharon Byrd did something unlawful or criminal against him, that it's merely more likely than not that no such complaint was made?

MR. GOLDBERG: Calls for speculation.

1	Q. BY MR. COWAN: Based on the practices of you
2	and your colleague and what you would write down.
3	A. Based on my experience and the clearance
4	that I have before me here, I would believe that the
5	complaint was not made on his part. Otherwise it would
6	have indicated that there was a non-desirous mutual
7	combat.
8	MR. COWAN: I don't have anything else.
9	[FURTHER EXAMINATION
10	
11	MR. GOLDBERG: One quick follow up.
12	Q. I think you mentioned that you did contact
13	the sheriff's bureau about the subpoena that was served
14	on you to find any records.
15	A. No. I contacted my detective bureau at
16	Marina Del Rey station to ascertain if there was any
17	report generated behind this particular subpoena so I
18	could refresh my memory with it. All they gave me and
19	told me was these are the same records that they found.
20	They found nothing else.
21	Q. Who did you contact at the detective bureau?
22	A. Sergeant Detective Hazlewood.
23	Q. And so did Detective Hazlewood provide you
24	with documents that you produced today?
25	A. No. He explained to me that after his check

# INCIDENT HISTORY REPORT MARINA DEL REY

```
Incident #: MDR07284-0040
Station: MDR Incident Date: 10/11/07
                                   Tag #: 40
/0836 ENTRY (248149) INCIDENT,5003 LA BREA AV, LAD #C "PALMS RESIDENTIAL
CARE, P. 415B, P242R, .9, .., TONY WAFFORD, SAME, (323) 296-4503, FEM SECRETARY SAYS HER
BOSS, (INF) SLAPPED HER.,,,,,MDR,,,,,MDRWD
/0836 ASSIGN/B (439117) 274/D #439021 GASKIN
/0836 ASSIST/B (439117) 273/D #475144 STILSON #258444 SCHWABE
/0836 CHGHDL (439117) 274/D->273/D
/0836* ACK (439021) 274/D <000>
/0837* ENR (439021) 274/D <000>
/0838 NOACK (263471) 273/D
/0840 ACK (263471) 273/D
/0840 * ASSIST/S (173139) 273A/D #173139 COOPER JR #465628 CROOKS <000>
/0840* ACK (173139) 273A/D <000>
/0840* ENR (173139) 273A/D <000>
/0840* ENR (475144) 273/D <000>
/0841* 10/97 (439021) 274/D <000>
/0842* 10/97 (173139) 273A/D <000>
/0849* 10/97 (475144) 273/D <000>
/0855* 10/98 (439021) 274/D <000>
/0855 10/98 (173139) 273A/D <000>
/0856* CLEAR (173139) 273A/D.,,780,,,,,X-273 AS
                      NEEDED..../0905* 10/98 (475144) 273/D <000>
/0920* CLEAR (475144) 273/D,...212,,,,,,CTD I/F BYRD,SHARON F/B 11/,26/64 RE POSS 742 BY
BOSS. I/F N,ON DESIR OF PROSCTE W/A WAFFORD, ANTHONY M/B 06/28/56 RE 415
W/E,MPLOYEE,WILCO.,2767.,..., <000>
 /0921* CLEAR (439021) 274/D,,,780,...,X-
                 /0926 OKI (248149)
```

25

10-20-09

DEPUTY DAILY WORKSHEET 06/26/09 0906 STATION: MDR SHIFT: D DATE: 10/11/07 0700 - 1500 UNIT: 273 CLASS: H TYPE: P # 475144 STILSON JAMES E O/T. O/T PA: # 258444 SCHWABE MATTHEW C 0/T: MILES: ( 83810 -> 83857 ) : 47 MOBILE: 6726 SPEC EQP: AR 15, SG 4, STUN BAG, RAD. PAGER PORTABLES: 14545 18956 ARRESTS / FEL - MA: FA: MJ: FJ: CITS/HZ: NHZ: PKG-/MSD-MA: FA: MJ: F.T: PATROL AREA/TIME: 01/209 TIME-SHIFT: 480 TT: 35 HDL: 221 WRT: 15 PTL: 209 UNALLOC: 0 RPTS: 2 INCIDENT ASSIGNMENTS. CODE DISP ACK ENRT 10/97 10/98 RD# A S P TT HDL WRT URN 0659 0743 32 9248 0659 2761 O R 44 LOC: MDR CLR: 754 STATION SERVICE: Briefing CLR: 758 STATION SERVICE: Station Service NARR: BRIEFING, VEH PREP 0812 36 925A 0812 0840 2768 O R LOC: ALLEY SO/SLAUSON W/WEST ST CLR: 723 VEHICLE/BOATING LAWS, CITATIONS: Warning Given CLR: 840 Traffic Stop CLR: 718 NON-CRIMINAL: Suspicious Vehicle NARR: CNT DRIVER DAVIS, YAMARO 08/06/71 M/B RE NO PLATES, BRKN WINSHIELD. W/A RE LIC STATUS. CHK PASSENGER DAY, AMIKA F/B 11/08/72 CHK OK. W/A RE CHILD ENDANGERMENT CONTACT TYPE: D - DETAINEE-DRIVER NAME: DAVIS, YAMARO CONTACT 1 SEX: M RACE: B DOB: 08/06/71 SEARCH: P - PAT DOWN CONTACT 2 SEX: F RACE: B DOB: 11/08/72 SEARCH: P - PAT DOWN PC: V - VEHICLE CODE SECT: 5200A VSRCH: C - CONSENT SEARCH CONTRABAND SEIZED: NS - NO SEIZURE 0840 0836 0840 2767 9 P 415B 0849 0905 16 LOC: 5003 LA BREA AV, LAD #C "PALMS RESIDENTIAL CARE CLR: 212 DISORDERLY CONDUCT: Disturbing The Peace/Court Disturbances NARR:CTD I/F BYRD, SHARON F/B 11/26/64 RE POSS 242 BY BOSS. I/F NON DESIR OF PROSCTE.W/A WAFFORD, ANTHONY M/B 06/28/56 RE 415 W/EMPLOYEE, WILCO. 0927 0935 0949 415N 0929 0925 2767 C R LOC: 6249 CONDON AV, LAD CLR: 212 DISORDERLY CONDUCT: Disturbing The Peace/Court Disturbances NARR: CNT I/F WILSON, MILTON M/B 10/15/36. RE COMPLAINT OF THREATS MADE BY NEIGHBOR TO KILL HIS DOG. CNT BROOKS, JUSTIN M/B 12188.W/A RE 415N, WILCO. 1041 1054 1041 2768 O R

LOC: SOUTH SIDE SLAUSON @ BRYANHURST

CLR: 716 NON-CRIMINAL: Suspicious Person

CLR: 841 Pedestrian Stop

NARR:CNT DILLARD, RAYMOND M/B 122059 AND STONE, RONALD M/W 090474 RE 925/DRINKING IN PUBLIC.W/A NOT TO BE IN PUBLIC WITH OPEN CONTAINER.WILCO

CONTACT TYPE: D - DETAINER-DOLURD NAME: DILLARD RAYMOND

SEARCH: P - PAT DOWN CONTACT 1 SEX: M RACE: B DOB: 12/20/59 SEARCH: P - PAT DOWN CONTACT 2 SEX: M RACE: W DOB: 09/04/74 PC: P - PENAL CODE SECT: 647F VSRCH: N - NOT SEARCHED CONTRABAND SEIZED: NS - NO SEIZURE 1105 1107 1108 1134 2767 C P 1 415F 1103 26 02926 LOC: 5616 OVERDALE DR, LAD CLR: 212 DISORDERLY CONDUCT: Disturbing The Peace/Court Disturbances NARR: CNT I/F HARMON, PEARLEN F/B 012942 RE GRANDDAUGHTER SMASHING THINGS. CNT GRANDDAUGHTER WILKERSON, TREASURE F/B 110492 RE 415F.WILCO 909 1104 1107 2767 O R 1104 LOC: SLAUSON E/O OVHILL CLR: 718 NON-CRIMINAL: Suspicious Vehicle CLR: 840 Traffic Stop NARR: CNT DILLARD, XAVIER M/B 071988 RE NO SEAT BELT. W/A TO WEAR SEAT BELT IN FUTURE. WILCO CONTACT TYPE: D - DETAINEE-DRIVER NAME: DILLARD, XAVIER CONTACT 1 SEX: M RACE: B DOB: 07/19/88 SEARCH: P - PAT DOWN PC: V - VEHICLE CODE SECT: 27315D1 VSRCH: C - CONSENT SEARCH CONTRABAND SEIZED: NS - NO SEIZURE 1120 1133 1135 1138 1147 2766 A C R 459A LOC: 5824 CORNING AV, LAD RES (JACKSON) CLR: 780 Assigned Assist NARR: ASSISTED 274 RE 459A. RESIDENCE CHKD OK, NO SIGN OF CRIME. 1215 1216 1219 1223? 1241 2767 C R 4 LOC: 5511 OVERDALE DR. LAD CLR: 734 VEHICLE/BOAT, OTHER NON-CRIMINAL: Vehicle Red Tagged NARR: CHKD AND RED TAGGED WHT TRUCK AND TWO BLU MERCDZ ON STREET 1245 1245 1249 1254 2768 C R 4 67 P917A 1245 LOC: 5422 KENISTON AV, LAD CLR: 734 VEHICLE/BOAT, OTHER NON-CRIMINAL: Vehicle Red Tagged NARR: CHKD AND RED TAGGED GRN SATURN 3PIP828 STATUS: \*\*\*CAN at 1243 1302 1319 2768 O R 17 15 02864 917A 1302 69 LOC: RIMPAU N/O SLAUSON AV, LAD CLR: 735 VEHICLE/BOAT, OTHER NON-CRIMINAL: Vehicle/Boat, Stored/Impounded NARR:STORED TWO VEHICLES RE EXPIRED REGISTRATION. APPEARED TO BE DUMPED P/10-29V NOT REPORTED. LIC 1JNJ420 AND LIC 2G0E080 2766 C R 8 1 1315 1327 1328 1316 1319 LOC: 6534 SHERBOURNE DR, LAD RES (ROBINSON). CLR: 700 NON-CRIMINAL: Alarm-Burglary NARR: ASSISTING UNIT ADVISED CODE 4 1433 1500? 2761 O R 79 EOW 1433

LOC: MDR

CLR: 758 STATION SERVICE: Station Service NARR: PAPER, REFUEL, VEH INSPEC

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

RE: SHARON SONG BYRD VS. TONY WAFFORD

- I, THE UNDERSIGNED, AM THE DULY AUTHORIZED CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, WITH THE AUTHORITY TO CERTIFY SAID RECORDS, AND DO HEREBY DECLARE THE FOLLOWING:
- 1. THAT THE COPY OF THE RECORDS ATTACHED TO THIS AFFIDAVIT IS A TRUE COPY OF ALL THE RECORDS DESCRIBED IN THE SUBPOENA, FOUR PAGES IN LENGTH, THAT WE ARE AUTHORIZED BY LAW TO RELEASE UNDER SUBPOENA, AND
- 2. THAT THE RECORDS WERE PREPARED BY EMPLOYEES OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, IN THE ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS AT OR NEAR THE TIME OF THE ACT, CONDITION, OR EVENT, FOR THE SPECIFIC PURPOSES OF THE BUSINESS ITSELF.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

SIGNATURE TIM HAZLEWOOD PRINT NAME: TIM HAZLEWOOD

TITLE: DETECTIVE

Exhibit 6

Dermot Givens SBN. 194571 468 Camden Dr., Ste. 305 Beverly Hills, CA 90210 (310) 854-8823 (323) 878-0416 fax Attorney for Plaintiff, Tony Wafford

#### SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES COUNTY

Sharon Song Byrd,	) BC 403677		
Plaintiff.	) third amended		
	) RESPONSE TO 2nd SET		
VS.	) OF REQUEST FOR		
	) FORM INTERROGATORIES		
	)		
Tony Wafford, The Palms	)		
Residential Care Facility,	)		
AND DOES 1-100,	)		
INCLUSIVE,	)		
Defendants.	)		
	)		
PROPOUNDING PARTY:	Sharon Song Byrd		
RESPONDING PARTY:	Tony Wafford		
SET:	(second amended) 2		

#### **VERIFICATION**

I, Tony Wafford, have reviewed the above responses to request for responses to form interrogatories and find the answers to be true and correct. I sign this under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California.

Tony Walford

11/1/09

- -Defendant denies that Plaintiff was battered.
- Defendant denies that Plaintiff was sexually harassed.
- Defendant asserts that Plaintiff initiated consensual sexual contacts.
- Defendant asserts that he did not keep a diary of sexual contacts and does not remember specific dates, times or locations of sexual contacts, with Ms. Byrd or other women, over the period of time he had consensual sex with Ms. Byrd. Sexual contact with Ms. Byrd began before 2000 and ended on or about October 2007..
- Defendant asserts that he did not know and was not informed of any conduct that plaintiff believed was harassment.

Defendant asserts, that at this time, no witness or document exist that support the denial. Defendant asserts, at this time, that no witness or documents exist that support affirmative defenses.

Denno Givens

Attorney for Mr. Wafford

#### PROOF OF SERVICE BC 403677

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business is: 468 N. Camden Drive, Ste. 305, Beverly Hills, CA 90210-4410.

X On November 2, 2009, I served the foregoing documents described as:

### THIRD AMENDED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORIES

X I placed the documents in postage prepaid sealed envelope in the U. S. Mail in Los Angeles, Ca addressed as follows;

Jeffrey Cowan Esq. 1541 Ocean Ave., Ste. 200 Santa Monica, CA 90401

Robert Goldberg, Esq. Clark, Goldberg & Madruga 11400 W. Olympic Blvd., Ste. 1150 LA., CA 90064

X	(STATE) I declare under penalty of per	rjury under the laws of the State of California that
	the foregoing is true and correct.	. ()
		1 c 1 AA
	Keith Worthy	Keet Closty
	Print or Type Name	/\ Signdture

Exhibit 7

1 2 3 4 5 5	JEFFREY W. COWAN, ESQ., SBN 157474 The Cowan Law Firm 1541 Ocean Avenue, Suite 200 Santa Monica, California 90401 Tel: (310) 394-1420 Fax: (310) 394-1430 Attorney for Plaintiff Sharon Song Byrd
6 7 8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Sharon Song Byrd, an individual,  Plaintiff,  Vs.  Tony Wafford, an individual, The Palms Residential Care Facility, a California Corporation, and Does 1 through 100,  Defendants.  Defendants.  CASE NO. BC 403677  (Action filed December 10, 2008; assigned to Hon. Michael C. Solner)  Plaintiff Sharon Byrd's Response to Defendant The Palms Residential Care Facility's 1st Set of Form Interrogatories
19	Propounding Party: Defendant The Palms Residential Care Facility
20	Responding Party: Plaintiff Sharon Byrd
21	Set Number: One
22	
23	
24	
25	FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 1.1:
26	State the name, ADDRESS, telephone number, and relationship to you of each PERSON
27	who prepared or assisted in the preparation of the responses to these interrogatories. (Do not
28	identify anyone who simply typed or reproduced the responses.)

#### RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NUMBER 1.1: Sharon Song Byrd and Jeffrey W. Cowan, Esq. 1 2 FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.1: 3 State: 4 (a) your name; 5 every name you have used in the past; (b) 6 the dates you used each name. (c) 7 RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.1 8 Sharon Song Byrd (a) 9 Sharon Song Byrd (b) 10 0 n/a 11 12 FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.2: 13 State the date and place of your birth. 14 **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.2** 15 November 26, 1964 - Paterson, New Jersey 16 17 18 **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.3:** 19 At the time of the INCIDENT, did you have a driver's license? If so, state: 20 the state or other issuing entity; (a) 21 22 (b) the license number and type; 23 the date of issuance; (c) 24 all restrictions. (d) 25 RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.3 26 27

# FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.10:

Can you read and write English with ease? If not, what language and dialect do you normally use?

# RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.10

Yes.

FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.11:

At the time of the INCIDENT were you acting as an agent or employee for any PERSON? If so, state:

- (a) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of that PERSON;
- (b) a description of your duties.

# RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.11

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident."

Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, at all relevant times in this lawsuit I legally was an employee of Palms Residential Care Facility even though I was unlawfully paid and treated as an independent contractor by Palms.

# FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.12:

At the time of the INCIDENT did you or any other person have any physical, emotional, or mental disability or condition that may have contributed to the occurrence of the INCIDENT? If so, for each person state:

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident."

Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: not to my knowledge.

# FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.1:

Do you attribute any physical, mental, or emotional injuries to the **INCIDENT**? If your answer is "no," do not answer interrogatories 6.2 through 6.7.

# RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.1:

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident."

Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: I suffered physical injuries to my left hand as a result of the battery by Tony Wafford, and I suffered mental and emotional distress as a result of the sexual harassment and retaliation that I endured from Tony Wafford and then from Palms after it fired me in retaliation from my reporting the sexual harassment and retaliation of Tony Wafford.

# FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.2:

Identify each injury you attribute to the **INCIDENT** and the area of your body affected.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.2:**

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident."

Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: Mr. Wafford caused

severe nerve damage to my left hand when he hit me in October 2007. I also suffered emotional distress, which affects my mind, soul and spirit and my entire body indirectly.

## FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.3:

Do you still have any complaints that you attribute to the **INCIDENT**? If so, for each complaint state:

- (a) a description;
- (b) whether the complaint is subsiding, remaining the same, or becoming worse;
- (c) the frequency and duration.

## **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.3:**

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident."

Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: my left hand is largely better as a result of the extensive treatment and therapy that I have received from hand specialist physicians in 2008 and 2009 but I do occasionally still experience pain or discomfort.

## FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.4:

Did you receive any consultation or examination (except from expert witnesses covered by Code of Civil Procedure section 2034) or treatment from a HEALTH CARE PROVIDER for any injury you attribute to the **INCIDENT**? If so, for each HEALTH CARE PROVIDER state:

- (a) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number;
- (b) the type of consultation, examination, or treatment provided;
- (c) the dates you received consultation, examination, or treatment;
- (d) the charges to date.

# RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.4:

Object to each interrogatory to the extent it calls for the compilation of an abstract or summary that could be compiled as easily by Defendants based on the documents being produced pursuant to the concurrent served document request of Defendant Palms. Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff responds as follows:

Steven N. Brourman, M.D., California Hand Surgery & Orthopedic Specialists Medical Clinic, Inc., 8641 Wilshire Blvd., Ste 205, Beverly Hills, CA 90211, telephone (310) 657-2202; hand specialist seen for continuing pain and damage to left hand.

Robert E. Dorer, M.O.T., O.T.R., Inc., 1624 West Olive, Ste G, Burbank, CA 91506, telephone (818) 954-0811; physical therapy for hand (referred to by Dr. Brourman)

Providence Saint Joseph Medical Center (emergency room), 501 S. Buena Vista Street, Burbank, CA 91505, telephone (818) 843-5111; \$1,150 bill re emergency room treatment for injured hand on October 12, 2009.

Hand specialist Benjamin Lesin, M.D., 14624 Sherman Way, #303, Van Nuys, CA 91405; consultations on about December 18, 2008 and February 8, 2009. Total charges were about \$275.00

Finally, Plaintiff states that her total charges to date from physicians and hospitals (but not mental health therapists) are about \$17,204.00

#### FORM INTERROGA ('ORY NO. 6.5: Have you taken any medication, prescribed or not, as a result of injuries that you 1 2 attribute to the INCIDENT? If so, for each medication state: 3 the name; (a) 4 the PERSON who prescribed or furnished it; (b) 5 6 the date prescribed or furnished; (c) 7 the dates you began and stopped taking it; (d) 8 the cost to date. (e) 9 RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.5: 10 11 Motrin (a) 12 St. Joseph's hospital emergency room staff prescribed Motrin to me to ease the (b) 13 pain in my left hand 14 0 10/12/2007 15 10/12/2007 to the present (I still take it occasionally for pain) 16 (d) 17 Approximately \$250. (e) 18 19 FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.6: 20 Are there any other medical services not previously listed (for example, ambulance, 21 22 nursing, prosthetics)? If so, for each service state: 23 (a) the nature; 24 the date; (b) 25 the cost; (c) 26 27 the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of each provider. (d) 28 RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.6:

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident."

Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: no as to my hand injury, yes as to my emotional distress from having been sexually harassed and then retaliated against. I have seen the following therapists for psychotherapy to help me deal with the emotional distress that I incurred: Lili Endlich, MFT, 3901 Kingwood Road, Sherman Oaks, CA 91403. Therapy sessions between October 2007 and January 2008. Total bill: about \$1,125.00

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.7:

Has any HEALTH CARE PROVIDER advised that you may require future or additional treatment for any injuries that you attribute to the **INCIDENT**? If so, for each injury state:

- (a) the name and ADDRESS of each HEALTH CARE PROVIDER;
- (b) the complaints for which the treatment was advised;
- (c) the nature, duration, and estimated cost of the treatment.

## **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.7:**

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident."

Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: with respect to my hand, Dr. Dorer advised at one point that I might need surgery if physical therapy alone did not cure me. He estimated such surgery would cost about \$15,000 to \$20,000.

## **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 7.1:**

Do you attribute any loss of or damage to a vehicle or other property to the INCIDENT?

If so, for each item of property:

(a) describe the property;

- (b) describe the nature and location of the damage to me property;
- state the amount of damage you are claiming for each item of property and how the amount was calculated;
- (d) If the property was sold, state the name, ADDRESS and telephone number of the seller, the date of sale, and the sale price.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 7.1:**

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident." Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: no.

### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 7.2:

Has a written estimate or evaluation been made for any item of property referred to in your answer to the preceding interrogatory? If so, for each estimate or evaluation state:

- (a) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the PERSON who prepared it and the date prepared;
  - (b) the name, ADDRESS and telephone number of each PERSON who has a copy;
  - (c) the amount of damage stated;

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 7.2:**

Object that the interrogatory is not relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is not applicable. This is not an automobile accident case

## FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 7.3:

Has any item of property referred to in your answer to interrogatory 7.1 been repaired?

If so, for each item state:

(a) the date repaired;

administrative assistant at Palms Residential Care Facility during the relevant times at issue in this lawsuit. I also at all relevant times had a home-based part-time business that involved making invitations.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 8.3:

State the last date before the INCIDENT that you worked for compensation.

## **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 8.3:**

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident."

Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states that she worked at all times before and during the incidents that are at issue in this lawsuit.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 8.4:**

State your monthly income at the time of the **INCIDENT** and how the amount was calculated.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 8.4:**

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident."

Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: my monthly income at

The Palms was about \$2,903. Until my hand was injured by Tony Wafford, I used to make

approximately \$1,000 per month from my "moonlighting"/home business of making invitations.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 8.5:

State the date you returned to work at each place of employment following the INCIDENT.

### RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 8.5:

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident."

Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: after being fired by

The Palms, I found employment elsewhere through a temp agency in approximately April 2008.

I also was able to resume operating my "side" invitation business in about February 2009 (when I made \$500 on an invitation project).

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 8.6:

State the dates you did not work and for which you lost income.

### **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 8.6:**

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident."

Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: with respect to working as an administrative assistant, I was unemployed from January 1, 2008 through about April 21, 2008; and I was unable to run my invitation business because of pain in my hand and emotional distress from about October 2007 through February 2008 (at which time I was able to do some calligraphy only but no designing or invitation assembling).

### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 8.7:

State the total income you have lost to date as a result of the **INCIDENT** and how the amount was calculated.

# RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 8.7:

I lost a \$1,400 calligraphy job in October 2007 that I could not perform because of the emotional distress I was suffering that had been caused by Defendants' conduct. I also was unable to perform a \$2,500 "design and assemble" wedding invitation job in October and another one in November due to not only the emotional distress but also pain in my left hand (which I had to use when assembling invitations). My distress did not subside to the point of allowing my "creative juices" to return until February 2008 (at which point I was able to do a \$3,725 calligraphy job). I was not able to resume doing invitation projects that involved assembly until February 2009 because of continued pain in my left hand.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 8.8:

Will you lose income in the future as a result of the INCIDENT? If so, state:

- (a) the facts upon which you base this contention;
- (b) an estimate of the amount;
- (c) an estimate of how long you will be unable to work;
- (d) how the claim for future income is calculated.

### **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 8.8:**

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident."

Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: no (unless I end up needing surgery, in which case the answer is "yes").

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 9.1:**

Are there any other damages that you attribute to the **INCIDENT**? If so, for each item of damages state:

(a) the nature;

RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 10.1:

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident."

Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states that she never had any prior complaints or injuries involving her left hand.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 10.2:**

List all physical, mental, and emotional disabilities you had immediately before the **INCIDENT**. (You may omit mental or emotional disabilities unless you attribute any mental or emotional injury to the **INCIDENT**.)

### **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 10.2**:

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous and violates the right to privacy. See Tylo v Superior Court, 55 Cal. App. 4th 1379 (1997).

### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 10.3:

At any time after the **INCIDENT**, did you sustain injuries of the kind for which you are now claiming damages. If so, for each incident state:

- (a) the date and the place it occurred;
- (b) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of any other PERSON involved;
- (c) the nature of any injuries you sustained;
- (d) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number or each HEALTH CARE PROVIDER that you consulted or who examined or treated you;
- (e) the nature of the treatment and its duration.

### **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 10.3**:

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident." Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: no.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 11.1:

Except for this action, in the last 10 years have you filed an action or made a written claim or demand for compensation for you personal injuries? If so, for each action, claim, or demand state:

- (a) the date, time, and place and location of the INCIDENT (closest streetADDRESS or intersection);
- (b) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of each PERSON against whom the claim was made or action filed;
- (c) the court, names of the parties, and case number of any action filed;
- (d) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of any attorney representing you;
- (e) whether the claim or action has been resolved or is pending.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 11.1**:

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous and not relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states that she has never made any claims before regarding either injury to her left hand or for emotional distress.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 11.2:

In the past 10 years have you made a written claim or demand for worker's compensation benefits? If so, for each claim or demand state:

(a) the date, time and place of the INCIDENT giving rise to the claim;

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous. Nowithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: Kevin Pickett, Tony Wafford, Cynthia Tucker, James McKnight, 1098 Rose Walkway, Pasadena, CA 91103; (323) 376-2978; the Honorable Rodrick Wright - State Capital, room 5064, Sacramento, CA 95814, telephone (916) 651-4025; Donrich L. Young, 760 Kingsword Court SE, Mableton, GA 30126, (412) 983-0708; deputy Los Angeles sheriffs Stilson and Schwabe (both male), and the 911 operator who overheard me screaming that Mr. Wafford had hit me and as a result caused the deputy sheriffs to come out.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.2:**

Have YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF interviewed any individual concerning the INCIDENT? If so, for each individual state:

- (a) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the individual interviewed;
- (b) the date of the interview;
- (c) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the PERSON who conducted the interview.

#### RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.2

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident" and violates the work product doctrine. Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: no.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.3:**

Have YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF obtained a written or recorded statement from any individual concerning the INCIDENT? If so, for each statement state:

- (a) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of ...e individual from whom the statement was obtained;
- (b) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the individual who obtained the statement;
  - (c) the date the statement was obtained;
- (d) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of each PERSON who has the original statement or a copy.

### RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.3

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident" and violates the work product doctrine. Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: no.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.4:

Do YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF know of any photographs, films, or videotapes depicting any place, object, or individual concerning the INCIDENT or plaintiffs injuries? If so, state:

- (a) the number of photographs or feet of film or videotape;
- (b) the places, objects, or persons photographed, filmed, or videotaped;
- © the date the photographs, films, or videotapes were taken;
- (d) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the individual taking the photographs, films, or videotapes;
- (e) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of each PERSON who has the original or a copy.

### RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.4

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as ... the term "incident" and violates the work product doctrine. Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: no.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.5:**

Do YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF know of any diagram, reproduction, or model of any place or thing (except for items developed by expert witnesses covered by Code of Civil Procedure section 2034) concerning the INCIDENT? If so, for each item state:

- (a) the type (i.e., diagram, reproduction, or model);
- (b) the subject matter;
- © the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of each PERSON who has it.

### **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.5**

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident" and violates the work product doctrine. Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: no.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.6:**

Was a report made by any PERSON concerning the INCIDENT? If so, state:

- (a) the name, title, identification number, and employer of the PERSON who made the report;
  - (b) the date and type of report made;
- © the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the PERSON for whom the report was made.

### **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.6**

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident" and violates the work product doctrine. Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: no, other than the physicians who Plaintiff saw and presumably the sheriffs who responded to The Palms after Ms. Byrd contacted the police and presumably made some sort of report about their field visit.

### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.7:**

Have YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF inspected the scene of the INCIDENT? If so, for each inspection state:

- (a) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the individual making the inspection (except for expert witnesses covered by Code of Civil Procedure section 2034);
  - (b) the date of the inspection.

### **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.7**

Object that the interrogatory is vague and ambiguous as to the term "incident." Notwithstanding and subject to this objection, Plaintiff states as follows: no.

### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 13.1:

Have YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF conducted surveillance of any individual involved in the INCIDENT or any party to this action? If so, for each surveillance state:

- (a) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the individual or party;
- (b) the time, date, and place of the surveillance;

27

28

was a legal (proximate) cause of the INCIDENT? If so, identify Lach PERSON and the statute, ordinance, or regulation.

# **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 14.1:**

Yes - Government Code § 12940(j); Government Code § 12940(h); Penal Code § 242

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 14.2:

Was any PERSON cited or charged with a violation of any statute, ordinance, or regulation as a result of this INCIDENT? If so, for each PERSON state:

- (a) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the PERSON;
- (b) the statute, ordinance, or regulation allegedly violated;
- © whether the PERSON entered a plea in response to the citation or charge and, if so, the plea entered;
- (d) the name and ADDRESS of the court or administrative agency, names of the parties, and case number.

### **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 14.2:**

Not to my knowledge other than as set forth in the operative complaint in this lawsuit.

### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 17.1:**

Is your response to each request for admission served with these interrogatories an unqualified admission? If not, for each response that is not an unqualified admission:

- (a) state the number of the request;
- (b) state all facts upon which you base your response;
- (c) state the names, ADDRESSES, and telephone numbers of all PERSONS who have knowledge of those facts;

(d) identify all DOCUMENTS and other tangible things that support your response and state the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the PERSON who has each DOCUMENT or thing.

### **RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 17.1**:

Re: Request No. 1: "Admit that YOU had a consensual sexual relationship with WAFFORD during YOUR employment at PALMS RESIDENTIAL."

My consensual sexual relationship with Tony Wafford ended in approximately

December 2004 after I learned he was having sex with another woman at work named Selby

Barrett. Persons with knowledge of these facts include me, Tony Wafford, and Selby Barrett. I

am not aware of any documents that evidence these facts directly.

Re: Request No. 2: "Admit that YOU had a "cordial and loving relationship" with WAFFORD before you began work at PALMS RESIDENTIAL."

until after Tony Wafford (on his own initiative) hired me to work at the Palms Residential and he started coming on to me and ultimately caused the relationship to change. Persons with knowledge of these facts include me, Tony Wafford, Cynthia Tucker, Roland Wirt, 5301 7th Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90043; (323) 295-1721; Evelyn Byrd, 7441 Hazeltine Avenue, Van Nuys, CA 91405; (818) 909-0272; Linda Davis, Jacaranda Street, Valencia, CA; and Philip Whyte (address unknown). In addition, Kevin Pickett admitted to me on October 11, 2007 that he had known that Tony Wafford and I had been having a sexual relationship in 2004 while I was employed by Palms (but I do not expect him to tell the truth and admit this). I am not aware of any documents that evidence these facts.

Re: Request No. 4: "Admit that YOU had a written contract with PALMS RESIDENTIAL."

I never signed the document that is attached as Exhibit 3 to the Requests for Admission. I am informed and believe that this was done by Cynthia Tucker because on several occasions I saw her try to copy or emulate my handwriting while writing invitations for Palms Events or for her "moonlighting" event planning business. Persons with knowledge of this include me, Cynthia Tucker, and presumably everyone at the Palms to the extent he/she is a witness to the fact that I never signed Exhibit 3.

Re: Request No. 6: "Admit that YOU experienced pain in YOUR left hand prior to October 11, 2007."

I never had *any* problems with pain (or anything else) in my left hand before Tony
Wafford struck it on October 11, 2007. Persons with knowledge of this would include me, my
entire family, everyone with whom I went to school from elementary school onwards (including
teachers and principals) and anyone who has been a good friend of mine since I was an infant. I
am not aware of any documents that would evidence something that never happened.

Re: Request No. 7: Admit that YOU first reported the INCIDENT to PALMS

RESIDENTIAL on October 15, 2007. [For the purpose of these Request for Admissions [sic], the term "INCIDENT" means the alleged assault by WAFFORD on October 11, 2007]

I told Kevin Pickett what happened several days before October 15 (on October 11, 2007 specifically), which is what caused him to beg me not to file a formal police report. Persons with knowledge of these facts include me, Kevin Pickett, Donrich L. Young, 760 Kingsword

28

Re: Request No. 10: "Admit that after YOU complained about WAFFORD to Kevin Pickett, WAFFORD stopped acting as YOUR supervisor."

Tony Wafford continued to act as my supervisor until about November 15, 2007 when I was forced to work from my home while Mr. Wafford was allowed to continue working in the office. He did this by communicating with me through Cynthia Tucker, who would relay messages between us. Persons with knowledge of this include me, Kevin Pickett, Tony Wafford, Cynthia Tucker and presumably everyone who worked in the facility where I had been working up to November 2007. Documents that evidence this include whatever emails or other writings of the Palms that show I where I was working during these periods in question.

Re: Request No. 20: "Admit that upon informing PALMS RESIDENTIAL of the incident,
PALMS RESIDENTIAL asked YOU to return to work at a different location – Mt.

Carmel, where YOU would not be in direct contact with WAFFORD."

I reported the battery on October 11, 2007 but was not told initially to work from the Mt. Carmel location until about October 15, 2007.

Persons with knowledge of this include me, Kevin Pickett, Cynthia Tucker, Tony Wafford, coordinator Shirrell Edey (address unknown to me), counselor Christopher Sharp, and administrative assistant Maria Salazar. Documents that evidence this include any documents of the Palms that may be at the Mr. Carmel facility (or elsewhere) that would evidence I started working there on October 15, 2007.

Re: Request No. 24: "Admit that the December 1, 2006 consultant agreement YOU signed attached hereto as Exhibit "3" you signed [sic] is genuine."

That is not my signature. I believe it was forged of Cynthia Tucker. I am not aware of anyone who would know this beside me, Ms. Tucker, and anyone at the Palms Residential who was familiar with my signature. Documents that support these facts include Exhibit 5 to these RFAs and any other document I signed in conjunction with my employment with Palms Residential (or any other document I signed for other purposes for that matter).

Re: Request No. 27: "Admit that before things soured between YOU and WAFFORD,
YOU had a "cordial and loving friendship with WAFFORD during the time YOU were
employed with PALMS RESIDENTIAL."

After I terminated our sexual/romantic relationship because I learned that Tony Wafford was also sleeping with co-employee Selby Barrett, the relationship I tried to maintain was "cordial" but not loving. Tony Wafford was my boss/supervisor. As a result, I needed a cordial and professional relationship so that I could not only continue to do my job well but also enjoy doing it – as opposed to suffering the abuse and harassment that Mr. Wafford put me through.

Persons with knowledge of these facts include me, Tony Wafford, and presumably everyone who worked at Palms Residential from about January 2004 through October 11, 2007. Documents that evidence these facts include certain Instant Messages that I will be producing that show how I tried to deflect sexual advances from Tony Wafford after I broke up with him.

Re: Request No. 29: "Admit that YOU started a sexual relationship with WAFFORD in 2004."

Tony Wafford came on to me. He and I know this, as may Kevin Pickett (who told me on October 11 that he knew that Mr. Wafford and I had been having a sexual relationship in 2004). I am not aware of any documents that evidence this.

Re: Request No. 30: "Admit that YOU accused WAFFOK... of having a sexual relationship with Cynthia Tucker."

I never did this. I and Tony Wafford know this. I do not know of any documents that would prove this negative proposition.

Re: Request No. 32: "Admit that PALMS RESIDENTIAL allowed YOU to continue working from YOUR home."

Initially Kevin Pickett told me that he would have Tony Wafford work elsewhere so that I could continue to work on the premises on LaBrea Avenue. Cynthia Tucker later complained that Mr. Wafford's presence was necessary and too important, and as a result Mr. Pickett told me to work at the Mt. Carmel facility. I spent about one day at the Mt. Carmel facility and then was put on paid administrative leave. Only later in about November 2007 was I told to work from my home. I, Kevin Pickett, Tony Wafford and Cynthia Tucker know this. I know of no documents that evidence this.

DATED: May 21, 2009

THE COWAN LAW FIRM

By:

Jeffrey W. Cowan

Attorney for Plaintiff Sharon Song Byrd

1	VERIFICATION
2	
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA ]
4	COUNTY OF Los Angeles
5	,
6	I have read the foregoing Plaintiff Sharon Byrd's Response to Defendant The Palms
7	Residential Care Facility's 1st Set of Form Interrogatories and know its contents.
8	[X] CHECK APPLICABLE PARAGRAPH
9	
10	knowledge except a to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those
11	matters I believe them to be true.
12	[ ] I am [ ] an Officer [ ] a Partner [ ] a of_ a
13	party to this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason.
14	
15	[x] I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.
16	[ ] The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to
17	those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.
18	
19	I am one of the attorneys for, a
20	party to this action. Such party is absent from the County of aforesaid where such attorneys have their offices, and I make this Verification for and on behalf of that party for that
21	reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.
22	rotegoing document are true.
23	I declare under penalty of position under the law -5th - 0, and 60 UC
24_	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on May 21, 2009 at Los Angeles, California.
25	Mind AN (AN)
26	Sharon Song Byrd [Signature of Declarant]
27	
28	

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to this action; my business address is 1541 Ocean Avenue, Suite 200, Santa Monica, California 90401.

On May 21, 2009 I served Plaintiff Sharon Byrd's Response to Defendant The Palms Residential Care Facility's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Form Interrogatories on the interested parties in said action as indicated below:

Robert D. Goldberg Clark, Goldberg & Madruga 11400 West Olympic Blvd. Suite 1150 Los Angeles, CA 90064

[Attorneys for Defendant The Palms Residential Care Facility]

Dermot D. Givens, Esq.
438 N. Camden Drive, Suite 305
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
[counsel for Defendant Tony Wafford]

- [x] [BY MAIL] by placing a copy of said document for collection and mailing on the date indicated above, in a sealed envelope(s), addressed as set forth above, pursuant to ordinary business practices. I am "readily familiar" with this firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service at Santa Monica, California on that same day in the ordinary course of business.
- [ ] [BY OVERNIGHT COURIER] I caused to be delivered to and served by an Overnight Courier on all interested parties in said action, the above named document(s) by placing true copies thereof in enclosed sealed envelopes, delivery fees paid or provided for, and addressed as set forth above.
- [X] [STATE] I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on May 21, 2009, at Santa Monica, California.

Valerie A. Dearth

Valerie & Dearth

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to this action; my business address is 1541 Ocean Avenue, Suite 200, Santa Monica, California 90401.

On December 31, 2009 I served Plaintiff Sharon Song Byrd's Notice of Motion and Motion for Order Permitting Discovery of Defendant Tony Wafford's Profits and Financial Information; [C.C. § 3295]; Memorandum of Points and Authorities; Declaration of Sharon Song Byrd; Declaration of Wendy Wheaton; Declaration of Steven Brourman, M.D., Declaration of Robert Dorer, MOT, OTR; Declaration of Jeffrey W. Cowan on the interested parties in said action as indicated below:

Robert D. Goldberg Clark, Goldberg & Madruga 11400 West Olympic Blvd. Suite 1150 Los Angeles, CA 90064

[Attorneys for Defendant The Palms Residential Care Facility]

Dermot D. Givens, Esq. 468 N. Camden Drive, Suite 305 Beverly Hills, CA 90210 [counsel for Defendant Tony Wafford]

- [x] [BY MAIL] by placing a copy of said document for collection and mailing on the date indicated above, in a sealed envelope(s), addressed as set forth above, pursuant to ordinary business practices. I am "readily familiar" with this firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service at Santa Monica, California on that same day in the ordinary course of business.
- [ ] [BY FACSIMILE] by transmitting a true copy by facsimile transmission at the time indicated on the transmission report from facsimile telephone number (310) 394-1430 to a facsimile machine maintained by the party on whom it was served, at the facsimile machine telephone number indicated on the attached service list. The transmission was reported as complete and without error. The transmission report which was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine is attached to the file copy of this document.
- [] [BY OVERNIGHT COURIER] I caused to be delivered to and served by an *Overnight Courier* on all interested parties in said action, the above named document(s) by placing true copies thereof in enclosed sealed envelopes, delivery fees paid or provided for, and addressed as set forth above.
- [X] [STATE] I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on December 31, 2009, at Santa Monica, California.

Valerie A. Dearth