

2 CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED 3 Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 4 OCT 25 2011 5 John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk 6 . Deput K. THOMAS 7 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 11 12 Case No. BC 403 677 Sharon Song Byrd, an individual, 13 (Action filed December 10, 2008; Plaintiff, 14 assigned to Hon. Michael C. Solner) 15 VS. Judgment on Jury and Bench Verdict Tony Wafford, an individual, The Palms 16 Residential Care Facility, a California Corporation, and Does 1 through 100, 17 Defendants. 18 Dept.: 39 19 20 [Trials: October 19, 2010 and July 18, 21 2011] 22 23 This action first came on for a jury trial on October 19, 2010 in Department 39 of the 24 Los Angeles County Superior Court, the Honorable Michael C. Solner presiding. Plaintiff 25 Sharon Song Byrd was represented by lawyer Jeffrey W. Cowan of The Cowan Law Firm, and 26 defendant Tony Wafford was represented by attorney Dermot D. Givens. 27 28

Judgment on Jury and Bench Verdicts

	A jury of twelve persons and two alternates was regularly impaneled and sworn.			
1	Witnesses were sworn and testified. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the			
2	jury was duly instructed by the Court and the cause was submitted to the jury with directions to			
3	return a verdict on special issues. The jury deliberated and thereafter returned into court voting			
4	with its verdict as follows			
5				
6	CACI VF-1300 Battery			
7				
8	We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:			
9	1. Did Tony Wafford touch Sharon Byrd with the intent to harm or offend her?			
10	xYesNo			
11	If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here,			
12	answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.			
13				
14	2. Did Sharon Byrd consent to be touched?			
15	YesxNo			
16	If your answer to question 2 is no, then answer question 3. If you answered yes, stop here,			
17	answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.			
18				
19	3. Was Sharon Byrd harmed [or offended] by Tony Wafford's conduct?			
20	x Yes No			
21	If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, stop here,			
22	answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.			
23				
24	4. Would a reasonable person in Sharon Byrd's situation have been offended by the			
25	touching?			
26	x Yes No			
27	If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, stop here,			
28	answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.			
	2			
	Judgment on Jury and Bench Verdicts			

	The jury awarded Ms. Byrd damages	as follows.			
1	5. What are Sharon Byrd's damages?				
2	a. Past medical expenses	\$20,126.17			
3	b. Future medical expens	ses \$3,600			
4	c. Past non-economic los	s, including			
5	anxiety/humiliation/en	notional distress \$0			
6	d. Future non-economic	loss, including			
7	anxiety/humiliation/en	notional distress \$0			
8					
9	TOTAL	\$23,726.17			
10					
11	The jury also found in favor of Plaint	iff Sharon Song Byrd on each count/factor in its			
12	special verdict regarding her cause of action for hostile environment sexual harassment, as				
13	follows:				
14					
15	CACI VF-2507A Hostile Work Envir	onment Harassment—Conduct Directed at			
16	Plaintiff—Independent Contractor (Gov. Code, § 12940(j))				
17					
18	We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:				
19	Was Sharon Byrd a person providing contract services for Palms Residential Facility?				
20	x Yes N				
21		answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here,			
22	answer no further questions, and have the pro-	esiding juror sign and date this form.			
23	100 mg/d				
24	,55, 26	ted harassing conduct because she is a woman?			
25	xYesN				
26		answer question 3. If you answered no, stop here,			
27	answer no further questions, and have the pro-	esiding juror sign and date this form.			
28					
		3			
		Jury and Bench Verdicts			

	3. Was the harassment severe or pervasive?
1	x Yes No
2	If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, stop here,
3	answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
4	
5	4. Would a reasonable woman in Sharon Byrd's circumstances have considered the work
6	environment to be hostile or abusive?
7	_xYesNo
8	If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, stop here,
9	answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
10	
11	5. Did Sharon Byrd consider the work environment to be hostile or abusive?
12	xYesNo
13	If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered no, stop here,
14	answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
15	
16	6. Did Tony Wafford participate in the harassing conduct?
17	xYesNo
18	If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here,
19	answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
20	
21	7. Was the harassing conduct a substantial factor in causing harm to Sharon Byrd?
22	x Yes No
23	If your answer to question 7 is yes, then answer question 8. If you answered no, stop here,
24	answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
25	
26	8. What are Sharon Byrd's damages?
27	Post scanomic loss
28	a. Past economic loss
	4
	Judgment on Jury and Bench Verdicts

		lost earnings	
1 2			
3		lost profits	
4		medical expenses	0
5			_
6			
7		other past economic loss	0
8			=-
9			Total Past Economic Damages: \$0
10			Total I ast Economic Damages. 50
11	b.	Future economic loss	
12			
13		lost earnings	
14			
15		lost profits	
16		medical expenses	0
17		•	
18		other future economic loss	0
19			Total Future Economic Damages: 0
20			Total Future Economic Damages. 0
21 22	c.	Past noneconomic loss, including phys	ical pain/mental suffering: 0
23			_
24			
25	d.	Future noneconomic loss, including ph	ysical pain/mental suffering: 0
26			TOTAL \$0
27			TOTAL W
28			
			5
		Judgment on Jury	and Bench Verdicts

In addition, the jury awarded \$20,000 in punitive damages against Defendant Tony Wafford, which the parties later stipulated on the record to accept after discussing with the Court – outside the presence of the jury – the jury's inadvertent consideration of the issue. [The Court had intended to bifurcate the issue of punitive damages but inadvertently gave the parties' joint special verdict form regarding the issue to the jury.]

Thereafter, the Court granted Plaintiff's motion for a new trial on the limited issue of her past and future non-economic damages on her battery and sexual harassment claims.

A one day bench trial was conducted on July 18, 2011 before the Hon. Michael C. Solner. Plaintiff was again represented by Jeffrey W. Cowan, and Defendant Tony Wafford appeared *in pro se* (having previously filed the paperwork substituting himself in as his own lawyer lieu of his former counsel Dermot Givens).

After hearing and considering the evidence, the Court issued an order on August 31, 2011 awarding Plaintiff \$40,000 in general damages on her sexual harassment cause of action for her past and future pain and suffering (i.e., general damages).

In addition, pursuant to Plaintiff's settlement with Defendant Palms Residential Care Facility, which by its terms pertained only to Plaintiff's battery claim, Defendant Tony Wafford is entitled to receive a credit/offset regarding liability on Plaintiff's battery claim for the settlement funds already paid by Defendant Palms Residential Care Facility pursuant to Plaintiff's and Palms' confidential settlement.

As a result and based on the settlement agreement filed under seal with the court and the absence to date of any motions or *ex parte* applications or other actions seeking to enforce the settlement agreement, the Court finds that Plaintiff's settlement with Defendant Palms Residential Care Facility equals or exceeds any liability on the battery claim and that such credits reduce Plaintiff's award on the battery claim to \$0. The Court further finds for the reasons stated above that the foregoing settlement does not affect or reduce either the Court's \$40,000 award for general damages on Plaintiff's sexual harassment claim or the jury's \$20,000 punitive damages award.

Pursuant to the foregoing findings of the jury and the Court, IT IS HEREBY

-	ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff			
1	Sharon Song Byrd and against Defendant Tony Wafford in the total amount of \$60,000.			
2	Plaintiff Sharon Song Byrd shall recover her costs of \$			
3	costs as may be determined pursuant to the submission of a memorandum of costs] and			
4	recoverable attorneys fees of \$ [or: as may be determined pursuant to a motion for			
5	attorneys fees], and Plaintiff will be entitled to interest at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum from the date of entry of this judgment until paid.			
6				
7				
8				
9				
10	michael & Sobre			
11	DATED: Oct 25, 2011 By: Judge of the Superior Court			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17	Respectfully submitted by			
18	JEFFREY W. COWAN, ESQ., SBN 157474			
19	The Cowan Law Firm 1541 Ocean Avenue, Suite 200 Secret Marian Colifornia 20401			
20	Santa Monica, California 90401 Tel: (310) 394-1420			
21	Fax: (310) 394-1430			
22	Attorney for Plaintiff Sharon Song Byrd			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	7			
	Judgment on Jury and Bench Verdicts			